Centre for
Resource Studies

Queen's University
Kingston, Ontario

Long Distance Labour Commuting in the Canadian Mining Industry

KEITH STOREY
MARK SHRIMPTON

Working Paper No. 43



89-02389/

Long Distance Labour Commuting in the Canadian Mining Industry

Keith Storey and Mark Shrimpton

Centre for Resource Studies
Queen's University
Kingston, Ontario

Canadian Cataloguing in Publication Data

Storey, Keith J., 1944-
Long distance labour commuting in the Canadian mining industry

(Working paper, ISSN 0226-7616 ; no. 43)
ISBN 0-88757-094-1

1. Mineral industries - Canada - Employees - Transportation. 2. Commuting - Canada. 3. Shift systems - Canada. 4. Industrial housing - Canada. 5. Resource-based communities - Canada. I. Shrimpton, Mark. II. Queen's University (Kingston, Ont.). Centre for Resource Studies) ; no. 43.

HD8039.M6C37 331.7'622'09721 C89-093345-6

Laser typeset by Academic Horizons, Kingston, Ontario

Foreword

The Centre for Resource Studies is pleased to publish this study, as a contribution towards greater understanding of policy and economic issues facing the mineral sector. Views expressed are those of the authors, and do not necessarily represent the views of the Centre for Resource Studies or its sponsors.

Margot Wojciechowski
Centre for Resource Studies

Acknowledgements

The research for this study was funded by Energy, Mines and Resources Canada under the 1987/88 Research Agreements Program. We are particularly grateful for the support given to the project by members of the Mineral Policy Sector of EMR and especially Glen Kendall and Bob Keyes.

We would also like to acknowledge the co-operation of the mining companies who completed the questionnaire on their mining operations which provided the data base for the report.

While many individuals provided valuable information and advice, the views expressed in this report are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the Department of Energy, Mines and Resources or the mining industry.

Keith Storey and Mark Shrimpton
Department of Geography
Memorial University of Newfoundland

Table of Contents

	Page
Foreword	*iii*
Acknowledgements	iv
Table of Contents	v
List of Tables	vi
List of Figures	vi
Summary	vii
Introduction	1
Overview and Literature Review	2
Introduction	2
LDC Use by the Canadian Mining Industry	5
The Decline of Resource Towns and the Growth of LDC	5
Survey of LDC Mines	8
General Mine Characteristics	8
The Workforce	8
Rotations and Shifts	16
Issues Arising	20
Labour Issues	20
Family Life Issues	24
LDC and Development Policy	25
Prospects for LDC Mining	26
Conclusion	28
References	29
Appendix	31

List of Tables

	Page
1. Characteristics of Mines Using LDC	4
2. LDC Mines: Year of Opening	8
3. LDC Mines: Life Expectancy as of June 1987	9
4. LDC Employment by Activity Category: Operating Mines, June 1987	9
5. LDC Employment by Occupation by Mine, June 1987	10
6. Female Workers at LDC Mines	11
7. Native Employment at LDC Mines	11
8. Estimated Marital Status of LDC Mineworkers	12
9. LDC Employees by Mine and Region of Residence	13
10. LDC Work Rotation Patterns and Shift Schedules	16

List of Figures

1. LDC Mines 1972-1987	5
2. Regions of Residence of LDC Mineworkers	14
3. Regions of Residence of Salmita Mine Workers	15
4. Pick-Up Points for Saskatchewan LDC Mineworkers	17
5. Regions of Residence of Polaris Mineworkers	18

Summary

This Report was originally prepared for Energy, Mines and Resources Canada. The objectives were to identify and document all current and proposed mining projects using long distance labour commuting (LDC), to estimate its future use, and to identify the issues, advantages and disadvantages associated with LDC.

LDC is defined as all employment in which the work is so isolated from the workers' homes that food and accommodation are provided for them at the work site, and schedules are established whereby employees spend a fixed number of days at the site, followed by a fixed number of days at home.

LDC, or "fly-in" mining as it is often, but sometimes incorrectly, referred to, is a new variant on the old theme of going away to work in the resource sector. The first Canadian mine to use this system was the Asbestos Hill mine in northern Quebec in 1972. Since then thirteen other mines have gone into production, and two others are scheduled to commence commercial operations in early 1988. As of June 1987 there were nine operating mines in Canada using some form of LDC.

The report is based on a review of literature, key informant interviews and a questionnaire survey of mining companies who use or have used LDC. The Report begins with a discussion of the use of LDC by the mining industry, which is followed by an analysis of data from those mines currently using this system. The issues arising from the use of LDC are then discussed and finally the prospects for its future use are examined.

The traditional approach to developing mineral resources is to build a mining town. In recent years LDC has become an attractive alternative given the economic and social limitations of resource towns, changes that have occurred in the regulatory and policy environments, technological and infrastructural changes in the transportation and mining sectors, the recent economic restructuring that has occurred in the mining industry, and worker preference.

The survey of mines shows the rapid growth in the use of LDC, particularly since 1980. Gold, uranium and zinc are the metals sectors that currently use LDC, and the Province of Saskatchewan and the North West Territories are the regions where most LDC mines are found. Fifty-seven percent of all LDC workers work a seven day on, seven day off rotation and 80 percent of all workers work 12-hour shifts. Rotations and shifts vary considerably both at individual mines and between mines, the shortest rotation is 4/3 and the longest 63/21. Generally speaking those mines in the south with relatively easy access to labour use shorter symmetrical rotations, while remote operations tend to use longer, asymmetrical patterns.

In spite of a growing preference for commuting over new town construction, LDC is not without its problems. Among the issues arising from its use are the implications of different rotation cycles and shift systems on labour matters such as health and safety, productivity and efficiency, absenteeism and turnover, unionism, and personnel policies. Related issues include the relative costs of LDC versus the mining town option, and the possible limitations to the use of LDC in terms of the size of the operation, length of commute, or life expectancy of the mine.

The advantages of LDC for workers and their families include the benefits of relatively high incomes or salaries, extended periods of time away from the work site, and a greater choice of where to live. Against this are the disadvantages of stress and tension caused by partings and reunions, the problems caused by spousal absence, difficulties of role definition and transitions for those with children, and difficulties with maintaining ongoing relationships with friends and other community members. Many are able to cope with these difficulties while others will change jobs or end their relationships.

LDC also has important implications for northern regional development policy. On the positive side the system represents a low-cost approach to mineral development for government, in that there is little need to provide infrastructure or services at remote locations, and most of the economic and social costs normally associated with closure are avoided. On the other hand the use of non-local labour, the limited involvement of native labour, and "fly-over" effects, whereby mines purchase most of their goods and services from southern metropolitan centres, are some of the perceived disadvantages of LDC.

Development of new metal mines in general, and mines using LDC in particular, will depend on future metal prices, mine costs, and continued access to markets — particularly markets in the United States. Not all new mines will need to use LDC, but where the

resource is remote from its labour supply and the economics of the project justify development, there is a far greater likelihood that LDC will be used rather than a new town being constructed. While many of the socio-economic outcomes of LDC are positive, questions on such issues as the economics of LDC, labour-management relations, fly-over effects, local training and recruitment, and worker and family effects all need to be given serious attention at the policy level if the positive benefits of this approach to mineral development are to be maximized and the negative outcomes minimized.

1. Introduction

This research report was originally prepared for the Department of Energy, Mines and Resources under the 1987/88 EMR Research Agreements Program. The objectives of the project were:

to identify and document all current and proposed mining projects using long distance labour commuting (LDC), establishing a range of information including the type of operation, year of commencement, projected life, size of labour force, rotation and shift patterns, commuting transportation network (modes and points served), and the regional distribution of the workers' place of residence;

to identify advantages, disadvantages and outstanding issues relating to the use of LDC; and

to estimate the future levels of use of LDC.

Long distance commuting in the mining sector has a relatively short history and to date has not been subject to any systematic analysis. However, recent changes in the structure of the mining industry, financing considerations, and attitudes and aspirations of the mining labour force are among the factors which have led to this approach to mineral resource development becoming increasingly important. The reason for undertaking this inventory and analysis of long distance commuting is to provide a baseline for the subsequent systematic study of the implications of LDC for labour economics, management continuity and labour-management relations, and of the psycho-social impacts on workers and their families.

The Report is based on a review of current literature (see Storey and Shrimpton,1986, 1987, and 1988, and Shrimpton and Storey, 1987 and 1988), a questionnaire survey of mines using LDC, and key informant interviews.

The Report is divided into three main sections. The first provides an overview of the history of LDC and a discussion of the factors which have encouraged its use rather than the more traditional approach to mineral development represented by the construction of mining towns. Part two provides an inventory and analysis of current and proposed projects that use LDC in terms of the variables noted in the first of the above objectives. The third section is a synthesis of the policy issues arising out of the experience with LDC and the prospects for its future use.

2. Overview and Literature Review

Introduction

One of the outstanding characteristics of Canadian geography is the imbalance between the distribution of population and the distribution of its natural resources. Approximately 90 percent of the population is concentrated along a narrow belt of relatively arable land on the southern edge, while many of the exploitable resources are located to the north or in marine areas.

Given the need to minimize resource production costs and maintain or enhance Canada's international competitiveness as a resource producer, a question of increasing importance is that of how these resources can most efficiently be developed. Until relatively recently the traditional response was the development of the "company town" or "resource town", the most recent, and perhaps the last, example of which is the coal town of Tumbler Ridge in British Columbia (Bugden, 1984).

However, following the example set by the offshore oil industry, and starting with the Asbestos Hill operation in northern Quebec in 1972 and the Rabbit Lake, Saskatchewan, uranium operation in 1974 (Nogas, 1976, 1986), there has been an increasing use of "temporary work communities" and "long distance commuting" to "fly-in mines" as an alternative strategy for the development of remote mineral resources.[1]

By way of definition, LDC is all employment in which the work is so isolated from the workers' homes that food and lodging accommodations are provided for them at the work site, and schedules are established whereby employees spend a fixed number of days working at the site, followed by a fixed number of days at home (Hobart,1979,2).

LDC is thus a relatively new variant on the old Canadian theme of going away to work in a resource industry. It is, however, a unique form of work organization differing (1) from daily commuting systems in that the workers are away from, and then at, home for extended periods, and (2) from seasonal or temporary migration-to-work systems by the year-round regularity of the rotational work pattern.

The importance of the growth of LDC lies therefore not in the nature of the work that is being done but in the regular temporal and spatial separation of the place of work and the place of residence and the implications that this has for the organization of work, the lives of workers and their families, and the socio-economic impacts on the communities and regions concerned.

The industrial sectors most likely to utilize LDC are construction, transportation and resource development. It is used when the nature or location of the work makes it impossible, prohibitively expensive, or undesirable to use labour commuting on a daily basis from an existing, or purposely built, community. This occurs when:

- the location of the work changes over time, either because the resource itself is mobile (as with deep sea fishing by factory or freezer trawlers) or where work on a transportation system is involved (the Quebec North Shore Labrador railway, for example, uses LDC labour, and there is increasing use of LDC on ocean-going merchant marine vessels);
- the work is situated in harsh and dangerous environments, as in the case of offshore oil and gas exploration and production;
- the activity is of short duration, such as large scale construction projects, and/or;
- the work is at a site remote from existing communities and for economic, social, and/or public policy reasons, LDC is regarded as the preferred option to developing a new resource community.

This study focuses on the last of these circumstances, and in particular the use of LDC by the Canadian metal mining industry.

Use of LDC in the mining industry probably originated in the Soviet Union in the 1960s as a means

1 The term 'fly-in' is commonly used to refer to all mining operations using LDC. However, the Hope Brook gold mine in south-west Newfoundland uses a boat to transport the majority of its labour force to and from the mine, while the Star Lake and Emerald Lake gold mines use, or will use, bus transportation. In this paper fly-in is used only to refer to those LDC mines using air transportation.

of developing northern mineral resources (see Armstrong, 1976; Slipchenko, 1979). More recently it has begun to be used in Australia. However, it is in Canada where the system currently appears to enjoy greatest use in the mining sector and where there is likely to be the most significant growth in the near future.

LDC Use by the Canadian Mining Industry

Table 1 summarizes selected characteristics of commercially producing Canadian mines which use, or have used, LDC and Figure 1 indicates their locations. In June 1987 there were eight operating mines using LDC. One has since closed, one has come into production, and two were in the development/construction stage.

Of the mines opened prior to 1987, one, Coal Valley, Alberta, no longer uses an LDC system, and five have closed (Asbestos Hill, Camsell River, Baker, Cullaton Lake, and Salmita). Several other operations that have also used a rotation system are not included as they did not enter commercial production. For example, the Bullmoose Lake mine (gold) in NWT operated a 28/28 fly in system from 1982 to August 1987, including some shutdown periods. This project employed an average of 120 workers but was a pilot mill project rather than a full commercial operation. Furthermore mines that are not predominantly LDC operations are excluded. The Nanisivik zinc mine on Baffin Island uses a nominal 91/21 rotation but this is not fixed and the mine is more appropriately classified as a resource town operation. The dates of opening of the mines show how recent the LDC phenomenon is. The first use of LDC in the mining industry was at Asbestos Hill, Quebec in 1972, and thirteen of the sixteen operations have only been in production or under development since 1980. Gold and silver (9 mines), uranium (3), zinc/lead, asbestos, coal and silver (1 each), are the types of mine with which LDC has been associated to date.

While in an overall sense the use of LDC in the mining sector may be considered relatively limited, the increase in its use has been rapid, and in some regions it has become the dominant approach to development. In October 1986 there were 110 metal mines in Canada, of which seven (6.4 percent) were LDC operations. However, at the time of the survey, three of the ten mines (30 percent) in the NWT, and four of the six (67 percent) mines in Saskatchewan used this system. In terms of employment, LDC accounted for only 4.4 percent of all Canadian metal mines workers in October 1986, but LDC mines accounted for 38 percent and 18 percent of all workers in the NWT and Saskatchewan respectively.

Table 1 also indicates a wide range in the length of the rotation used at each mine. The longest rotation (Polaris, 63/21) is used at the most northerly operation. Rotation patterns and other mine characteristics are discussed in more detail, below. Another characteristic of note is that while most Canadian metal mines are unionized, only three of the current (June 1987) LDC operations are organized; Key Lake and Detour Lake (United Steelworkers of America), and Cluff Lake (Energy and Chemical Workers Union).

The Decline of Resource Towns and the Growth of LDC

The current preference in resource development is shifting away from the development of new resource towns towards long distance commuting. In the metal mining industry a new calculus has emerged which favours the LDC option. The elements of this new calculus include:

Limitations of Resource Towns

There is considerable literature on the problems of company or resource towns (see, for example, Robinson, 1984; Robson,1988). These problems include:

- lack of economic diversity
- lack of alternative employment opportunities — especially for women
- difficulties of recruiting and retaining top quality labour
- vulnerability to "boom and bust" cycles
- seasonal instability in terms of employment and income levels
- a limited and often unpredictable lifespan of the resource
- socio-demographic imbalances
- social problems associated with remote environments, loneliness, alcoholism, etc.
- communities too small to support many urban services, especially in the areas of education, entertainment, and retailing
- limitations of the physical, social and political environment
- difficulties of town management

Figure 1


LDC Mines 1972-1987
Polaris (zinc/lead)
Camsell River (silver)
Lupin (gold)
Salmita (gold)
Cullaton Lake (gold)
Asbestos Hill (asbestos)
Johnny Mountain (gold)
Baker Mine (gold)
Cluff Lake (uranium)
Rabbit Lake (uranium)
Key Lake (uranium)
Star Lake (gold)
Coal Valley (coal)
Hope Brook (gold)
Emerald Lake (gold)
Detour Lake (gold)
mines closed or no longer using LDC as of June 1, 1987

Table 1
Characteristics of Mines Using LDC

Mine	Commodity	Province	Opened/ Closed	LDC Employees	Rotation Days In/Out	Years of Expected Life
Asbestos Hill	Asbestos	Que.	1972-83	c.400	70/14	-
Rabbit Lake	Uranium	Sask.	1975	350	7/7	>20
Coal Valley	Coal	Alta.	1978[1]	335[2]	n.a.	n.a.
Cluff Lake	Uranium	Sask.	1980	260	7/7	>20
Camsell River	Silver	NWT	1980-85[3]	200	28/28[4]	-
Baker Mine	Gold	B.C.	1981-83	47	14/7[5]	-
Lupin	Gold	NWT	1982	440	21/7 14/14[6]	15-19
Polaris	Zinc, Lead	NWT	1982	275	4/3 63/21[7]	15-19
Cullaton Lake	Gold, Silver	NWT	1983-85	130	42/28 42/21 7/7	-
Key Lake	Uranium	Sask.	1983	425	7/7	15-19
Detour Lake	Gold	Ont.	1983	162[8]	7/7[9]	10-14
Salmita	Gold	NWT	1983-87	25	3/4 14/14[10]	-
Star Lake	Gold	Sask.	1987	45	35/21 7/7	1-3
Hope Brook	Gold	Nfld.	1987[11]	350[12]	14/14	10-14
Johnny Mtn.	Gold	B.C.	1988[13]	60	42/21	4-6
Emerald Lake	Gold	Ont.	1988[13]	85[14]	4/4	n.a.

[1]Workers were bused in 5 days per week for eight hour shifts from 1977-78. A daily helicopter commuting system was used from 1978-82 with workers rotating on a 4/4, 12-hour shift system. Since 1982 the company has used a daily bus commuting system but workers still rotate on the 4/4, 12-hour shift system.

[2]This is 1986 employment which was about 60 percent capacity.

[3]Operations began in 1970 but a rotational system was not adopted until 1980.

[4]The original rotation was 54/30 for hourly workers and 36/20 for staff. In 1984 the rotation changed to 28/28 for all employees.

[5]In 1981, rotation for all personnel was 21/7. This changed in 1982 to 14/7 with the exception of administrators who remained on 21/7.

[6]Mine workers work 14/14, some department heads work 4/3.

[7]Majority of hourly paid and corporate staff work 63/21, native workers can opt for 42/28, senior management staff work 42/21.

[8]Currently undergoing expansion.

[9]Mine staff work 3 weekdays first week, 4 days second week at mine site, except accounting personnel who are located in Timmins.

[10]Mill workers worked 14/14, production employees worked 35/21.

[11]Production began September 1987.

[12]Construction and mine workers, normal operating employment expected to be about 270.

[13]Production scheduled for early 1988.

[14]Of the total workforce, 55 will commute on a daily basis from River Valley and area, the remaining 30 will live on site during their 4/4 rotation or 4/3 rotation in the case of mill operators.

- start-up and wind down costs to industry and government
- social and economic problems associated with closure

Many of these problems have been exacerbated over time as (1) Canadian miners and their families have come to expect and demand a greater range of recreational and other public facilities, (2) a higher proportion of children need and wish to attend grade school and participate in higher education, and (3) demographic, social and economic changes have made two income families the norm.

Changes in the Regulatory and Policy Environment

A number of public policy considerations have affected decisions between the resource town and LDC alternatives. Principal among these is the fate of single industry towns when the resource is exhausted or its exploitation becomes uneconomic, thus removing the community's *raison d'être*. This common Canadian phenomenon has proved costly to the residents of such towns and to the public purse. Accordingly, alternative development options become more desirable.

At the same time, changes in the regulatory environment have made the construction of mining towns more expensive. The earlier company towns were largely unconstrained by government requirements and controls leading in many cases to a poor quality urban environment. More recent mining towns, such as Tumbler Ridge, are, by contrast, conspicuous for the planning efforts and investments that have gone into the community. In the case of Tumbler Ridge the estimated total development cost exceeded $274 million representing a per capita investment in the order of $45,700 (McGrath,1986,232).

Companies contemplating the development of a new town know they are likely to be subject to the impact assessment process which may require the use of costly management and mitigation measures before the development is allowed to proceed. In addition developers are now subject to a much wider range of regulations regarding urban design, accommodation standards, and servicing; all of which tend to add to construction costs.

A further constraint to resource town development is that increasing Federal-Provincial management of resources has tended to transfer much of the decision-making from the private sector context to the political-regulatory context. For example, and as an extreme case, the Alberta Government in the mid 1970s forbad any new town development in the "Coal Branch" area west of Edmonton. In this case proponents had no choice but to use a commuting option if they wished the development to proceed (Berg,1986,31).

A second example of the impact of policy is with respect to affirmative action programs, particularly as they apply to aboriginal peoples. The objective of these programs is to encourage participation in resource development and yet at the same time permit native peoples to maintain elements of their traditional lifestyle. The resource town strategy does not easily allow these twin objectives to be achieved, whereas the commuting option allows for both the separation of home and work place and more flexibility in the actual workcycle (Hobart,1988).

Long distance commuting employment is increasingly being seen as a means of spreading the economic benefits of resource development to a wide range of communities, native and non-native, rural and urban, and northern and southern. The effect of LDC is the opposite of that of a mine town, insofar as incomes generated at the mine are spent in, or from, a large number of communities. This makes a contribution to the diversification of the economic bases of these communities and serves to spread both the benefits of the mine during its operation and the costs of its closure. This is not to suggest that a wider spread of benefits is always beneficial. The problem of "fly-over", for example, where remote sites are served from distant metropolitan centres rather than nearby urban centres, is a problem associated with LDC and is addressed in more detail later.

In short, government policy now tends to encourage resource developments that address a range of political, economic and social objectives rather than simply economic objectives. As a consequence many of the what, where, when and how questions of development must be evaluated against a wider range of variables; the net result is that in many cases commuting has become the preferred option.

Technological and Infrastructural Changes

A third element in the new calculus is the relative changes in movement, communication, and storage costs that have occurred in recent years. Changing technology, particularly with respect to air transportation, has provided resource developers with the basis

for the commuting option which simply was not there in the 1950s and 1960s.

Air transportation is now fast, dependable, relatively safe and cheap, and in conjunction with public policy initiatives of the 1960s and 1970s, which saw the expenditure of considerable public funds to improve road and airport infrastructure in particular together with terminal and warehousing facilities, the cost equation has changed in favour of LDC.

Restructuring in the Mining Sector

1981-82 to 1986-87 saw a crisis in the mining industry. While mining has always been vulnerable to short term mineral cycles and longer term business cycles, during the recession in the early 1980s the cyclical vagaries of international mineral markets have coincided with significant structural changes within the industry. While this crisis seems to have peaked and new plateaux are being established around which the cycles will continue to swing in the future, it has meant that more attention than ever has been given to improving productivity, rationalizing unproductive operations, and reducing production costs so as to ensure that the industry remains competitive.

Weak mineral prices in recent years are largely attributable to the high real interest rates of 1981-82, a declining world gross national product, world currency re-alignments, environmental regulation, downsizing, new lower cost mining operations in third world countries and metal substitution (Keyes, 1986,6). However, market improvements since 1986 have brought a new period of stability to most mineral sectors.

The medium-term prospects for the Canadian metals mining industry likely include:

- the increased probability that the search for new mineral reserves will take the industry to more remote locations;
- the development of new reserves will emphasize higher value deposits implying the probability of shorter mine lifetimes; and,
- the need for increased extraction efficiency which implies the likelihood of a smaller workforce.

Each of these favours the use of LDC. Furthermore, recent price fluctuations have caused attention to focus on development options that are more compatible with variability *per se*. The LDC option presents advantages in that costs of start-up and closure of the mine, whether temporary or permanent, are reduced. In cases of closure there are no mining town problems, and the saving in commuter transportation costs is immediate. LDC operations are thus both easier to open *and to close* (and possibly subsequently to re-open).

Worker Preference

Another factor, which may further hasten the decline of the resource town and expand the use of long distance commuting, is that many workers prefer commuting to living in a mining town (see, for example, Rose *et al.*,1984,1).

From the company viewpoint it appears that there is less difficulty in attracting workers and retaining them (Nogas, 1976,128; Glass and Lazarovich, 1984,86; Newton,1986,77). The developers of the Rabbit Lake mine found during the planning phase that were they to adopt the mining town option they could expect anything from a 35-400 percent annual turnover rate. In fact turnover started at 28 percent when the mine opened in 1975 and by 1986 was down to 5 percent (Nogas,1986,20,24). At Polaris in the first six months of operation the turnover rate was only 12 percent and subsequently declined. Operators of LDC mines also indicate that there is less absenteeism (Newton,1986,78), and it has been argued that there are fewer industrial disputes (Rose *et al.*,1984,4).

While these low turnover rates may in part be explained by an absence of alternative mining employment opportunities in the 1981-86 period, there appears nonetheless to be a fairly high level of satisfaction with the system as, while the job market has now swung toward a relative shortage of workers, turnover rates remain relatively low and applications for positions high. Many workers appreciate being able to live in their home communities, retaining family and friendship ties and taking advantage of facilities and opportunities that might not be available in remote communities (Jackson,1987, 164). Research into LDC in the offshore oil industry shows that married workers also enjoy the extended time with their families that the rotation system allows (Storey *et al.*,1986,101). However, both the research into the offshore oil industry (Storey *et al.*, 1986; Lewis *et al.*,1988) and case studies of LDC in the mining industry (Storey and Shrimpton,1988) also show the LDC work patterns to be somewhat problematic in some ways for almost all workers and families, and highly problematic for some.

3. Survey of LDC Mines

In order to achieve a better understanding of past and current LDC mining activities, a survey of mines using this system of work organization was carried out. A questionnaire was sent to all mines known to use the system. In addition to providing data about their own mine, respondents, usually the Human Resources Supervisor or equivalent, were asked to identify other mines not included in the list of LDC operations provided. In this way what is believed to be a complete list of Canadian LDC mining operations, past and present, was generated, and comparable data collected wherever possible. Of the sixteen mines identified, detailed information was obtained on twelve including all of those currently operating.

General Mine Characteristics

Table 1 provided a variety of data about mines that use or used LDC indicating location by province, mineral, date of the opening and, where appropriate, closure of operations, number of employees, rotation system and estimated years of life remaining. Table 2 summarizes the dates of opening of mines by mineral type which clearly indicates the growth in LDC since 1980, and its increased use in gold mining in particular.

Table 2
LDC Mines: Year of Opening

Year of Opening	Total Mines Opening in Period	*Type of Mine*			
		Uranium	Zinc/ Lead	Gold	Other
1972-74	1	-	-	-	1
1974-76	1	1	-	-	-
1977-79	1	-	-	-	1
1980-82	5	1	1	2	1
1983-85	4	1	-	3	-
1986-88	4	-	-	4	-
Total	16	3	1	9	3

This growth can also be seen in the context of total mine openings. The proportion of LDC mines as a percentage of all new gold, uranium and lead/zinc mines has increased steadily, from 9 percent in 1975-79, to 15 percent in 1980-84, and to 36 percent in 1985-87 (Canada,1987).

The "life expectancy" of those mines still in operation, i.e the notional life of the mine based on current known reserves, costs and mineral prices, varies considerably, but typically the gold mines in question have a relatively short life expectancy, the uranium mines are expected to have fifteen or more operating years left while the Polaris zinc/lead mine has 15-19 years of life remaining (Table 3).

LDC mines appear to have a higher survival rate than non-LDC operations. Table 1 indicates that between 1975 and 1987 thirteen LDC mines were opened, each of which was designed to use, or adapted to use, LDC. Of these 10 (77 percent) remain open, while, over the same period, only 32 of 62, or 56 percent, of all non-LDC gold, uranium and lead/zinc operations are still open (Canada,1987). The underlying reasons for this apparently higher rate of survival are not, however, understood.

All but one of the mines described in Table 1 were designed as LDC operations. Camsell River (NWT) converted to an LDC system in 1980 and a 28/28 rotation was used until the mine closed in 1985. On the other hand, the Luscar-Sterco operation (Coal Valley, Alberta) started as a helicopter fly-in operation but converted to a daily bus commuting system in 1982 when declining demand and lower output made LDC uneconomic.The only mine which does not utilize LDC alone is Emerald Lake in Ontario where it is proposd that part of the work force will commute by bus on a daily basis.

The Workforce

Table 4 presents aggregate employment data for all mines in production on June 1, 1987 by on-site and off-site occupational categories. One hundred and sixty-four workers or 7.3 percent of the total workforce are employed off-site, primarily in administrative posi-

Table 3
LDC: Life Expectancy as of June 1987

Life Expectancy (Years)	Total	Uranium	Zinc/ Lead	Gold	Other
Closed	5	-	-	3	2
1-3	1	-	-	1	-
4-6	1	-	-	1	-
7-9	-	-	-	-	-
10-14	2	-	-	2	-
15-19	3	1	1	1	-
20+	2	2	-	-	-
Unknown	2	-	-	1	1
Total	16	3	1	9	3

tions at head-office or other locations. Of the remaining 2069 on-site workers, 866 or 42 percent are employed in mill operations and 782 (38 percent) in mining. The remainder of the workforce are mainly involved in administration (14 percent), and catering, housekeeping and other support activities (7 percent).

Table 4
LDC Employment by Activity Category: Operating Mines June 1, 1987

Activity	Total Employment	Male	(%)	Female	(%)
On-Site					
Administration	282	223	(79)	59	(21)
Production					
Mine	782	759	(97)	23	(3)
Mill	866	813	(94)	53	(6)
New Construction					
Other	139	74	(53)	65	(47)
Sub-total	2069	1869		200	
Off-Site					
Administration	132	85	(64)	50	(36)
Other	32	27	(84)	5	(16)
Sub-total	164	112		52	
Grand Total	2233	1981	(89)	252	(11)

Aggregate statistics, however, do hide the considerable variation between mines, even those in the same mineral sector and region. Using uranium mines as a case in point, at Cluff Lake only 16 percent of the on-site workforce are miners compared to 44 percent at Key Lake, while at Rabbit Lake 53 percent of on-site workers are in the mill compared with 32 percent at Key Lake. Uranium mines are the largest users of LDC employment (1207 employees, or 54 percent of the total). The concentration of uranium mining in Saskatchewan means that this province has the largest proportion of LDC mine employees with 1174 workers or 57 percent, followed by the NWT with 746, or 36 percent.

Female Workers

Table 5 disaggregates the workforce data by mine and employment category. At the nine mines in full operation at the time of the survey and for which data were available, there was a total employment of 2233 of whom 1981 (89 percent) were males and 252 (11 percent) females.

Of these 252 women employed in LDC mining operations 200 (80 percent) work at the mine site, representing 10 percent of the total employees working a rotational pattern. Mines without any female workers at the time of the survey were Salmita, which was in the process of suspending operations, and Johnny Mountain, which was in the development/construction phase. The proportion of females in the LDC workforce varies between mines, as indicated in Table 6. The overall average is 10.5 percent with the lowest female employment rates found at Detour Lake (6.0 percent), Lupin (7.2 percent) and Rabbit Lake (7.5 percent).

These comparatively low rates can be partly explained by the fact that the last two mines noted contract out the catering and cleaning service functions, activities which traditionally employ a high proportion of women. No data were available for contractual employees at these mines.

At other mines the percentage of female workers ranges between 10.5 percent at Key Lake and 14.4 percent at Polaris. The high percentage of female workers at Polaris may be explained by a mine policy which offers the opportunity for couples to be hired.

Women work mainly in Administration (where they comprise 21 percent of the total labour force), and other employment (47 percent). In large part these women are in traditional female occupations including secretarial work and cleaning and catering (see Table 4). Conversely they are proportionately under-represented in Mine and Mill production work, where they comprise 3 percent and 6 percent of the labour force respectively. The considerable variation in the number and type of jobs performed by women at the different mines should once again be noted.

Table 5:
LDC Employment by Occupation by Mine, June 1987

	Rabbit Lake			Cluff Lake			Key Lake			Polaris			Lupin			Detour Lake			Star Lake			Salmita		
	T	M	F	T	M	F	T	M	F	T	M	F	T	M	F	T	M	F	T	M	F	T	M	F
On Site																								
Admin.	24	1	68	82	73	9	61	50	11	28	19	9	53	37	16	25	21	4	5	3	2	4	4	0
Prod.																								
Mine	151	148	3	42	42	0	214	211	3	90	87	3	189	179	10	68	64	4	18	18	0	10	10	0
Mill	197	180	17	94	88	6	155	135	20	126	124	2	202	196	6	56	55	1	26	25	1	10	10	0
New Const.		c/o		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other		c/o		45	26	19	56	39	17	34	8	26		c/o		-	-	-	4	1	3	-	-	-
SUB TOTAL	372	344	28	263	229	34	486	435	51	278	238	40	444	412	32	149	140	9	53	47	6	24	24	0
Off Site																								
Admin.	-	-	-	44	31	13	42	30	12	9	4	5	30	18	12	6	2	4	1	0	1	-	-	-
Other	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	-	-	32	27	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
SUB TOTAL	0	0	0	44	31	13	42	30	12	9	4	5	62	45	17	6	2	4	1	0	1	-	-	-
GRAND TOTAL	372	344	28	307	260	47	528	465	63	287	242	45	506	457	49	155	142	13	54	47	7	24	24	0

Uranium	T	M	F	Lead/Zinc	T	M	F	Gold	T	M	F	All Mines	T	M	F
LDC Employment	1121	1008	113	LDC Employment	278	238	40	LDC Employment	670	623	47	LDC Employment	2069	1869	200
Total Employment	1207	1069	138	Total Employment	87	242	45	Total Employment	739	670	69	Total Employment	2233	1981	252

c/o contracted out

Table 6
Female Workers at LDC Mines

Mine	# Female Workers	% of all LDC Workers at Mine
Rabbit Lake	28	7.5
Cluff Lake	34	12.9
Key Lake	51	10.5
Polaris	40	14.4
Lupin	32	7.2
Detour Lake	9	6.0
Star Lake	6	11.3
Salmita	0	-
Total	200	

Native Workers

Of the overall 233 LDC workers, approximately 385 were native; an average of 17.2 percent per mine. The proportion of native workers per mine varies from 42 percent native workers at Cluff Lake to 2.7 percent at Detour Lake (see Table 7). The uranium operations generally show a higher proportion of native workers than other mines.

These variations may be explained by several factors of which the following may be the most important:

Available Workforce.

Clearly the location of the mine relative to an available workforce is important. One would expect a higher proportion of native workers in areas where there is a large local native population, all other things being equal. This helps explain the higher levels of native employment at, for example, the uranium mines of northern Saskatchewan, but fails to explain the low proportion of native workers at Star Lake, Saskatchewan.

Table 7
Native Employment at LDC Mines

Mine	# Native Employees	% LDC Workers
Rabbit Lake	95	25.5
Cluff Lake	110	41.8
Key Lake	110	22.6
Polaris	26	9.3
Lupin	35	7.9
Detour Lake	4	2.7
Star Lake	5	9.4
Salmita	na	-
Total	385	

Recruitment and Training Policies.

Native recruitment and training agreements reached at individual mines are also important. Such agreements were made in Saskatchewan at Cluff Lake and Key Lake through a mechanism known as the Northern Surface Lease Policy. Employment quotas were set for northern residents, but were only ever reached at Cluff Lake. No penalties were imposed at Key Lake for failing to reach the quota.

More recently the approach has been one of encouraging companies to increase the number of native hires without resorting to regulatory measures. In the Saskatchewan uranium industry, for example, training programs are in place and preference is given to native workers for entry-level positions. This approach appears to be having some success as native employment as a percentage of total employment at Saskatchewan uranium mines was higher in 1987 than the annual average for 1981-84.

Similarly at Nanisivik, a zinc mine in the eastern NWT which partly uses LDC, the agreement between the company and the government signed in 1981 included a quota requirement of 60 percent native workers at the mine. This was never achieved and when Polaris was developed a different agreement was reached designed to encourage native hiring and training but without specifying quotas.

Marital Status

Table 8 indicates the estimated proportions of the LDC workforce by marital status. The majority of the workforce, approximately 68 percent, are married, with a further 26 percent single (never married) and 6 percent widowed or divorced. These figures show some differences compared with those of the 1981 metal mines labour force (76 percent married, 21 percent single, 3 percent widowed or divorced [Canada,1984]), with LDC mines showing higher proportions of single and widowed, separated or divorced workers. Bearing in mind that the data are only estimates made by mine human resources personnel, and that they are incomplete, it appears that LDC in general is slightly more attractive to single rather than married workers. More specifically, the length of rotation appears to be a factor influencing the demographic makeup of the workforce as at Polaris,

the most isolated mine with the longest rotation, there is a higher proportion of single workers than all other LDC mines except Star Lake. In the latter case the high proportion of single workers may be related to the fact that the mine has only recently opened and/or has a short life expectancy, and that miners are on contract and their rotations may range from two weeks to three months. From these, albeit partial, data there does appear to be a relationship between length of rotation and the marital status composition of the workforce.

Table 8
Estimated Marital Status of LDC Mineworkers (%)

Mine	Single	Married	Widowed/ Divorced
Rabbit Lake	25	75	na
Cluff Lake	na	na	na
Key Lake	25	65	10
Polaris	34	59	7
Lupin	25	70	5
Detour Lake	15	75	10
Star Lake	36	59	5
Salmita	na	na	na
mean %[1]	26	68	6

[1]. weighted by number of LDC workers per mine

Place of Residence

Table 9 shows the region of residence of LDC workers at each mine. Figure 2 indicates the regions referred to. The four Saskatchewan mines draw almost all of their labour from Saskatchewan itself, particularly from Southern Saskatchewan and especially from the Saskatoon area (62 percent). Detour Lake also has a relatively localized labour force, drawing its employees from Northern Ontario, and particularly from the Timmins and Cochrane areas.

By contrast the mines in the NWT draw their labour forces from across Canada. Figure 3 illustrates the place of residence of those working at Salmita during its lifetime. As might be expected many workers at Polaris, in the eastern NWT, live in the eastern provinces. Fifty-two percent of the workers at Polaris come from Ontario, Quebec or the Atlantic Provinces. On the other hand, Lupin, in the western NWT, draws 78 percent of its workforce from Alberta and British Columbia.

Excluding Salmita, for which data are incomplete, only a relatively small percentage of those working in the NWT actually lived there. At Polaris and Lupin the percentage of workers living in the NWT is only 13 percent and 9 percent respectively.

These differences in large part reflect differences in local labour supply and the commuting arrangements the companies have adopted. The remoteness of the mine site and the associated lack of local labour means that workers have to be brought in over very long distances, the costs of which in turn influence the choice of rotation systems used at these mines. Rotation length is discussed in more detail below.

One notable aspect of worker place of residence is that some northern residents, originally hired in the north, have moved to commute to the mine site from the south. At Polaris, for example, of 71 "northern-resident" hirings, including both natives and non-natives, 38 (54 percent) now live in the south. The main reasons given for this move are to allow a family to be closer to those who can provide support during the lengthy periods of absence of the spouse and preferred physical environments. Relatively high annual incomes and zero transportation costs allow a much greater range of choice of place of residence than before. There is also evidence that some Lupin workers, originally from Yellowknife, have moved south to take advantage of cheaper living conditions, and similarly some "northern" employees at Cluff Lake have moved south to Saskatoon.

Table 9
LDC Employees by Mine and Region of Residence

Region	Mine								
	Rabbit Lake	Cluff Lake	Key Lake	Polaris	Lupin	Detour Lake	Star Lake	Salamita	Total
NWT-Yellowknife	-	-	-	9	12	-	-	15	36
NWT-Other	-	-	-	24	28	-	-	5	57
Yukon	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	2
British Columbia	2	-	-	63	61	-	1	-	127
Alberta-Northern	-	-	-	12	45	-	-	-	57
Alberta-Southern	3	-	-	-	240	-	-	-	243
Saskatchewan-Northern	70	131	85	8	-	-	10	-	304
Saskatchewan-Southern	297	132	392	-	24	-	28	-	873
Manitoba-Northern	-	-	-	8	1	-	-	-	9
Manitoba-Southern	-	-	-	-	19	-	-	-	19
Ontario-Northern	-	-	-	59	8	140	-	-	207
Ontario-Southern	-	-	-	-	-	10	-	-	10
Quebec-Northern	-	-	-	27	-	-	-	-	27
Quebec-Southern	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	2
Atlantic Provinces	-	-	-	51	3	-	-	54	
Total	372	263	477	262[1]	444	150	39[2]	20	2027

1 Does not include catering staff
2 Does not include contractual miners and catering staff

Figure 2


REGIONS OF RESIDENCE
OF
LDC MINEWORKERS
Yukon
Northwest Territories
*Yellowknife
British Columbia
Northern Alberta
Northern Sask.
Northern Manitoba
Southern Alberta
Southern Sask.
Southern Manitoba
Northern Ontario
Northern Quebec
Atlantic Provinces
Southern Quebec
Southern Ontario

Figure 3


REGIONS OF RESIDENCE
OF SALMITA
LDC MINEWORKERS
Yukon
N.W.T.
49
SALMITA
British
Columbia
62
Alberta
36
Sask.
11
Manitoba
4
Ontario 17
Quebec 2
Newfoundland
26
Maritimes 4
P.E.I.
Nova Scotia
New Brunswick
213 – total number of employees from 1981 to 1986
211 – employees from Canada
1 – employee from Honduras
1 – employee from United Kingdom

Rotations and Shifts

Table 10 provides a summary of the rotation and shift schedules used at current LDC mines.

Table 10
LDC Work Rotation Patterns and Shift Schedules

Mine		Rotation (days on/off)	Shift[1] (hours)	# of Workers
Rabbit Lake		7/7	12	372
Cluff Lake		7/7	12	263
Key Lake		7/7	12	486
Polaris	(a)	63/21	11 x 6 days[2]	(238)
	(b)	63/21	12	24
	(c)	63/21	9 x 6 days	(10)
	(d)	42/21	11 x 6 days	6
Lupin	(a)	14/14	12	439
	(b)	4/3	12	5
Detour Lake	(a)	7/7	12	124
	(b)	4/3-3/4	12	25
Star Lake		7/7	12	38
Salmita	(a)	14/14	12	na
	(b)	35/21	10	na

[1] Includes all breaks
[2] Work on the seventh day is optional
( ) Estimated

Rotations vary considerably between mines and in several cases more than one rotation system is used at a single mine. However, the general characteristics of the rotation and shift systems can be summarized as follows:

The majority of workers work a 7/7 rotation.

Sixty-two percent of all present LDC workers work seven days on followed by seven days off. This includes all uranium workers in Saskatchewan, administration and mill workers at the Star Lake gold mine in Saskatchewan, and all production and related employees at the Detour Lake gold operation in Ontario.

The short rotation is possible where there is a relatively "local" labour force such that worker transportation costs and the time spent travelling between homes and the mine are relatively short. In each of the above cases the workforce is drawn from a small number of centres within a comparatively short distance of the mine site. Detour Lake, for example, draws nearly all of its labour from nearby Timmins and Cochrane, both of which have a long mining-related history. The four Saskatchewan mines draw their labour force primarily from the Saskatoon area (62 percent). While workers are also flown in to the mines from a total of eighteen other centres, all of these are in Saskatchewan north of Saskatoon (see Figure 4).

The more remote mine sites with more widespread sources of labour use longer and asymmetrical rotations.

Mine workers in the NWT travel much greater distances between their homes and the mine sites than workers in Ontario and Saskatchewan. The expense, time and comparative difficulty of moving workers to and from the more remote mine sites are the major factors influencing the length of the rotation.

The longest rotations are those used at Nanisivik (91/21) and Polaris (63/21) both of which are zinc/lead operations in the eastern NWT. As noted earlier Nanisivik is a hybrid case, being part fly-in and part traditional mining community, having on-site accommodation, schooling and other services for those families which choose to live there. Polaris, on the other hand, is a true LDC operation and the 63/21 schedule reflects the lack of locally available labour and the long distances workers must travel to the mine. Figure 5 illustrates the widespread distribution of place of residence of the workforce at this mine.

In the Polaris case the Company pays transportation costs to the place of residence for all employees living in the NWT. For all other workers transportation is paid to the closest major airport to the place of residence. Workers travel via Edmonton or Montreal to Resolute by a twice-weekly commercial airline service and from Resolute to the mine site by charter aircraft. The asymmetrical rotation, the small number of workers going in or out at any one time, and the nation-wide labour shed for the mine, mean that unlike the Saskatchewan and Ontario operations there are few opportunities for transportation scale economies. In addition, the small and low density population distribution in the North may mean even higher transportation costs for "local" workers from the NWT than for workers from the South. In short, transportation costs and difficulties discourage short rotation patterns.

It should be noted, however, that native workers at Polaris have the option of working a shorter, 42/28, rotation. This is an attempt to provide Inuit workers with the opportunity to engage in a modern industrial economy, while at the same time leaving sufficient time for traditional hunting, fishing and family ac-

Figure 4


N.W.T.
Camsell Portage 8
Uranium City 5
Fond du Lac 24
Stony Rapids 17
Black Lake 13
CLUFF LAKE
RABBIT LAKE
Wollaston Post 5
KEY LAKE
La Loche 3
Southend 2
Buffalo Narrows 15
Patuanak 5
Ile-à-la Crosse 14
Pinehouse Lake 4
STAR LAKE
Jans Bay 5
La Ronge 58
Pelican Narrows 4
Beauval 45
Alberta
Manitoba
Cumberland House 12
Prince Albert 173
Saskatoon 685
LDC workforce by mine
Key Lake
Star Lake
Rabbit Lake
Cluff Lake
total LDC workforce by community
170
50
10 – 25
0 – 9
● – LDC mine
PICK-UP POINTS FOR
SASKATCHEWAN LDC MINEWORKERS

Figure 5


POLARIS
REGIONS OF RESIDENCE
OF
POLARIS MINEWORKERS
Yukon
1
owknife
9
N.W.T.
24
British
Columbia
63
Alberta
12
Sask.
8
Manitoba
8
Ontario
59
Quebec
27
Atlantic Provinces
51
Nova Scotia
New Brunswick
Newfoundland
Prince Edward
Island
262 — total number of employees as of June 1, 1987

tivities. However, at the time of the survey none of the Inuit workers at Polaris were working this rotation.

At most LDC mines management staff work a shorter rotation.

Mine management systems vary considerably among the LDC mines, a major factor being the accessibility of the mine to the head office or the major administrative centre for the operation. At Key Lake, for example, senior management fly in to the mine from head office in Saskatoon for one day each week, making additional trips as necessary, while mine supervisory staff work the same 7/7 rotation as the rest of the mine workforce. At Detour Lake, Department Heads, their Assistants and administrative staff work a 4/3-3/4 rotation every two weeks. Similarly at Lupin, some senior management work a 4/3 rotation while the remainder of the labour force works 14/14. Rotations for corporate staff at Polaris (i.e. salaried Cominco personnel) are 42/21 compared with the 63/21 for hourly paid employees.

In some cases the shorter rotations are a function of the particular job requirements. Where the work of senior or administrative personnel require both a head office and a mine site presence, shorter rotations have been adopted, but this is only possible where the mine and the head office are relatively close. In those situations where the mine is at a remote site and joint head office/mine site functions are not possible, the shorter rotations for senior staff appear to be primarily a benefit of seniority, responsibility, or salaried status.

Rotation lengths have tended to become shorter over time.

Three of the mines in the NWT, which tend to have the longest rotations, have reduced the length of the rotation from that originally adopted. At Polaris the original rotation was 70/14 but in practice has been reduced to 63/21 as the travel time often cut into vacation time by three to four days, and this left insufficient time for married workers to spend with their families.

Similarly at Salmita the rotation was cut from 42/14 to 14/14 and 35/21 because employees found that they needed more time to recuperate. At Lupin the rotation has changed from 42/14 to 28/14 to 14/14 since the mine opened in 1982. Workers at Lupin vote annually on the preferred rotation. While only two choices are offered, this is the only case where such a vote occurs.

In all cases changes in rotation patterns have resulted in a shorter work period, and in some cases a longer rest period. Recent wildcat strikes at the Hope Brook mine by construction workers were in part prompted by the long travel times incurred by the boat-in system.

The shift length is generally 12 hours.

Eighty percent of all LDC workers work a twelve-hour shift including all breaks. The exception to this pattern is found at Polaris where mine crews work an eleven-hour shift and cleaning staff work nine hours a day. Administration, technical and cleaning staff work six days per week, while for all others work on the seventh day is optional.

The twelve-hour work day was first introduced to the mining industry at Rabbit Lake in 1975 (Nogas,1986,23). It is now standard practice at LDC operations. The benefits are that it allows the mine to "hot-change" work crews and avoid any downtime, and requires only two crews for a 24 hour operation. From the workers' perspective the extended workday appears to present few short-term health and safety problems, providing the work and the workplace are organized appropriately, but it must be acknowledged that hard data on the subject are limited. This issue has been the subject of review by Kendall (1987) whose findings are discussed in more detail below.

4. Issues Arising

Notwithstanding the increase in the use of LDC there are a number of issues about which there are few available data or which need further consideration from a policy perspective. Bearing in mind that many are interrelated the main issues arising relate to labour, family life and development policy.

Labour Issues

Rotation Cycles

An optimum rotation cycle, or a method by which an optimum cycle might be determined under different circumstances, cannot be specified. As discussed earlier a variety of rotations are used from the 7/7 system at Rabbit Lake, the 14/14 cycle at Lupin, to the 98/21 cycle at Nanisivik; each of which has particular advantages and disadvantages. Other research by the authors comparing three LDC operations using different rotations does, however, indicate worker preference for shorter rather than longer rotations (Storey and Shrimpton,1988,77-80).

At some mines, management, mill workers and native workers work different rotations from other workers. It has been suggested, on the basis of this, that it may be possible to provide workers with some degree of choice of rotation (W.Fotheringham, Hope Brook, pers.com.,1987). On the other hand, where particular groups are given the option to work different rotations (for example, native workers at Polaris), this could be a source of resentment by other workers. This may have been a factor in the decision to adopt a uniform 28/14 rotation for both natives and non-natives at Cominco's Red Dog zinc mine now being developed in Alaska. (Giegerich,1986,28).

The length of rotation is often thought to have implications for the safety of workers. For example, in a study of their offshore workforce, Shell, U.K. found that accident rates increased after 10 days, and as a consequence cut back their rotation from 14/14 to 7/7 (Alvarez,1986,28). However, there is little evidence to indicate that this conclusion is applicable to Canadian LDC mines; this may be because mining companies are more willing to allow employees to "pace" themselves in response to the longer work hours.

Shift Length

The typical work period at LDC mines is a 12 hours on and 12 hours off schedule. The benefits of an extended work day to the company include more efficient use of facilities and equipment, as there are fewer shift changes and little or no downtime, and, in some cases, a significant saving in overtime payments. For the workers it allows a longer block of time to spend with their families and to enjoy non-work activities.

In reviewing the subject of the extended work day, Kendall (1987) discusses five impact themes: fatigue; accident rates; productivity and efficiency; worker satisfaction; and, exposure limits. The review of the literature indicates that the information base about all areas is limited and that in many cases the results are conflicting.

Fatigue resulting from the extended workday and the implications for the health and safety for workers has been a matter of concern. The relationship of fatigue to the extended workday is not generally well understood. It may be more of a problem for particular groups, such as older workers, but perhaps of more importance is the nature of the job and the equipment, machines, and processes used to complete that job.

For many workers, however, the longer work day does not present major fatigue problems. Research in non-mining industries offers the explanation that long periods of time off and generally better sleep patterns on the extended work day provide the opportunity for more rest during non-work time (Stones,1986,2). However, comparison of Saskatchewan uranium (LDC) and potash mining (non-LDC) employees show the former to have more sleep-related problems. Since both industries use 12 hour shifts it has been hypothesized that this is the result of the cumulative effect of shift *and* rotation pattern (pers. com. Personnel Performance Consultants, Saskatoon).

Fatigue may also be a problem for those who find it difficult to adjust to a change in sleeping patterns when changing from night to day shifts and vice versa. At Polaris, for example, most mine and mill workers change shifts every two weeks, this means at least four changes in sleep patterns over the course of a single rotation, which for many may not be an easy adjustment.

The conclusions that have been drawn about the relationships between extended shifts and fatigue are summarized by Kendall (1987,4):

- fatigue can be reduced by improvement of environmental factors such as temperature, humidity and noise in the workplace, and shift starting time;
- fatigue can be reduced by job design, including decreased repetitiveness and increased creativity;
- jobs that are less physically demanding and contain more natural rest periods are better suited to 12-hour shifts;
- while repetitive jobs are not well suited to the extended work day, neither are those which are mentally or emotionally demanding; and
- older workers have relatively greater difficulties adjusting their sleep patterns to accommodate 12-hour shifts.

It is popularly assumed that there is an increased risk of accidents due to fatigue from the extended workday, but the available studies do not show an increase in accident rates (Northrup *et al.*,1979; Wynn,1979). Whether these results are slanted by the fear of increased accident rates is unknown. What is suggested is that:

> accidents occur as part of a process involving a combination of personal, technical, behavioral, environmental and work process factors. It is important to consider not only the worker's role, but also how the work environment and work itself affects health and safety. The length of the work day is only one factor in this process (Stones,1986,4).

In this context it should be noted that LDC mines are relatively modern and have been designed to take advantage of modern equipment and technology, including changes which allow a greater regard for safety issues.

The number of other intervening variables and the differences in mine characteristics make it difficult to isolate the factors that contribute to accidents. Open pit mines present a different set of risks from underground mines, and the nature of the ore body may significantly affect mining risks. Age and type of equipment will vary among mines, worker and management attitudes may vary, and reporting practices may differ.

For example, workers at Polaris are at least eight hours from the nearest doctor and hospital, and this may well affect their attitude towards safety in the workplace. Furthermore, reported lost time accidents may well be lower because of the relative difficulty in going on Workmen's Compensation and the fact that any small loss of time results either in a period of recovery at the workcamp (where boredom can be an important factor), or extended time away from the mine site and significant loss of income earning opportunity. Whatever the relevant factors, in September 1987, Polaris showed the lowest year-to-date lost time frequency[2] of all of Cominco's North American operations.

In general, while the evidence does not seem to support a link between extended work hours and accidents, the matter remains controversial due to the complex nature of accident causation (Kendall, 1987,6) and the generally poor quality and comparability of the available data.

The effects on productivity and efficiency also appear to be mixed. The 12-hour shift means fewer shift changes, resulting in efficiency gains, though there is evidence that performance can deteriorate in the last hours of the shift, especially where high levels of concentration are required. Absenteeism and turnover are reported to be reduced under the 12-hour system, and there is an apparent improvement in the way that employees discharge their duties.

Difficulties arise, however, in work continuity and communications, particularly between workers and managers whose hours may overlap less frequently; where extended shifts and rotations mean long breaks between work periods workers may be unaware of what has been happening at the mine or mill in their time away and it may take some time to "get up to speed".

It has been the view of some unions that working long shifts is a retrogressive step in terms of securing improved working conditions for their members (IRPP,1986,44). However, in the remote environment of an LDC mine the potential disadvantages of the long work day must be set against the disadvantages of long periods of leisure time in a place which offers few opportunities to use that time constructively.

In general, 12-hour shifts have found favour among mine workers at LDC mines especially where there is

2 Frequency = lost time injuries per 200,000 man-hrs. worked.

the opportunity to earn overtime. In addition, the extended period at home is often regarded as "quality time" spent with family or friends, or uninterrupted time for projects, vacations and even other work.

The length of the workday presents a number of other concerns, particularly regarding the health of workers. Exposure limits on such things as noise, dust or other airborne contaminants are usually based on an eight-hour day. The question has been raised as to whether these limits need to be revised to account for the longer periods of exposure implicit in the extended workday (Kendall,1987,9-10).

In Canada most provinces base their exposure limit standards on a weekly (40 hours) rather than a daily basis. Consequently, regardless of shift length, the adequacy of current standards is called into question only where in excess of 40 hours is worked in a week. Another area needing further research has to do with the possible cumulative effects of working long rotations in combination with extended workdays over several years.

The extended workday has become a common feature in the Canadian mining industry. Kendall (1987,18) estimates that there are some 70 mining operations across Canada where at least part of the work force is on shifts ranging from 10 to 12 hours. As Table 10 indicates, 80 percent of all employees at all of the current LDC operations work 12-hour shifts.

Labour-Management Relations and Unionism

As noted above, there is evidence that LDC reduces labour turnover and absenteeism. Absenteeism is a particular problem if a worker misses the flight into the project site and this may mean that there is a need to overstaff to cover for unplanned absences. Once the workers are on site, however, there are likely to be few absentees.

Rose *et al.* (1984) suggest that Australian mines using LDC are less subject to industrial disputation than other companies in the same sector. However, the number of Australian mines using LDC is very small and in any event it is not at all clear that this finding is the result of the use of LDC specifically. As noted earlier, in Canada there are proportionately fewer organized LDC mines compared with non-LDC operations.

Organizing labour in circumstances where one half of the workforce may rarely meet the other half is extremely difficult, and is exacerbated because even those workers at the mine site at any one time are likely to be on twelve-hour shifts, severely limiting the opportunities for contact. Furthermore there are not the opportunities for establishing consensus and informal exchange that are possible in a mining town setting, and it is nearly impossible to establish the solidarity among workers' families that has been so influential in many labour disputes.

In the event of industrial action at LDC mines even normal practices, such as setting up a picket line, become very difficult to implement. The entire mine site, including the work camp and the air strip, will be company property, with employees often flying in from a number of public airports. Where to set up the picket line and how to get workers to that location may pose insurmountable logistical and economic difficulties for a union.

There are few data on the merits of LDC from the union perspective. Data from Australia suggest that of the five major unions involved in mining the consensus of opinion was that daily commuting followed by a company town option were the preferred alternatives. LDC was the least preferred arrangement. However, the union most directly affected, the one representing workers involved with offshore exploration, indicated that this was the most preferred option, suggesting that familiarity may bring with it a greater degree of acceptance of this arrangement (Newton, 1986,75), or that workers and their families may 'self-select' into work patterns that they find satisfactory.

Personnel Policies

Personnel policies and management styles vary from mine to mine. The following represent a range of policies that have been adopted formally or informally at one or more of the mines surveyed, and which represent attempts to improve the workplace and the well-being of the work force:

- open door policy of mine management
- discouragement of any reference to cultural differences
- delegation of responsibility where possible
- avoidance of regimentation, encouragement of interaction between workers and management, inviting and recognizing employee participation in decision making
- keeping employees informed of company planning
- consistent application of company policies
- flexibility with respect to leave rotations

especially in cases of sickness, family problems, etc.
- avoidance of "blue-collar/white collar" divisions by making all workers salaried employees
- positive attitude toward native hiring policies, special training provisions, on-site pre-employment orientation and recognition of particular cultural values
- positive attitude toward employment of women including hiring of spouses
- special arrangements at Christmas, by minimizing the number of workers on site and/or bringing families on to the site for Christmas
- provision of good quality food, recreation facilities, establishment of workable policies regarding the use of alcohol
- subsidies or other assistance with telephone communications
- single room accommodation, double rooms for couples
- employee assistance programs for those needing help

These are examples drawn from a number of different LDC mines; there is considerable variability in personnel policies among the companies in question. While different approaches will depend on the particular context and corporate and management styles, there appears to be a need to consolidate information on those policies which are effective.

Another issue is that of whether it is possible to identify those types of individuals who are likely to be most suited to the LDC regime. In the British North Sea individuals with a military background appear best able to cope with the loneliness, monotony and semi-authoritarian regime which characterizes work in the offshore oil industry (Alvarez,1986,28). However, attempts in Australia to identify profiles of workers who appear to be best suited to the work and lifestyle in remote communities have so far been unsuccessful (Syme *et al.*, 1986), and it has been suggested that a better approach may be to provide potential workers with better orientation information so that they can self-select, and to modify some aspects of the industry to meet the needs of workers and their families (Storey *et al.*,1986). At present the only orientation programs for new workers appear to relate to job tasks or safety issues; there are no attempts to explain the implications of, or potential coping strategies to deal with rotational work patterns.

Relative Costs

The available data suggest that LDC operations are generally cheaper than their mining town counterparts (Rose *et al.*,1984; IRPP,1986,46). It also appears easier to attract and retain skilled personnel (Nogas,1986,24) and the absence of outside "distractions" may increase worker and managerial efficiency.

Some writers have suggested that the work-regime may lead to burn-out (Glass and Lazarovich,1968,84). While there is some indication that this may be true for workers on the longer rotations, there are insufficient data to adequately support this view.

The use of LDC also appears to offer increased flexibility to companies in that it helps to keep debt ratios down by avoiding the large capital "front-end" expenditures required in the construction of a new town, and it represents an incremental style of policy making that avoids the big and expensive once-and-for-all decisions typical of new town construction. In addition, the company can proceed independent of any government provision of public infrastructure (Newton,1986,78), although this may still be sought.

However, while there are indications of cost savings there is little publically available documentation. The cost of accommodation at the Polaris mine in 1981 dollars was $12 million while the equivalent townsite was estimated at between $20-30 million exclusive of public infrastructure (IRPP,1986,46). The transportation costs of fly-in, however, are not available.

Limitations to LDC Use

A number of limitations to the use of LDC have been suggested. Various authors have argued that there is an upper limit to the size of the workforce beyond which LDC is not logistically feasible or economic. One Canadian author has suggested that a workforce of 500-2000 represents the scale limitation for rotation systems with the limit probably closer to the lower number (DePape,1984,88). Against this, 1200 workers were flown in to the NWT to the Norman Wells pipeline construction project and between 3-4000 oil-related workers from Anchorage to Prudhoe Bay, Alaska (IRPP,1986,45).

It would seem that there is no upper limit *per se*, but that for any operation townsite and transportation expenses are part of the overall cost equation, with varying impacts on both the capital and operating components. At the Coal Valley Mine in Alberta, for example, workers were flown in by helicopter from the

community of Edson, some 20 minutes away. The annual cost of operating two helicopters was in excess of seven million dollars. With an annual production capability of three million tons a year the fly-in costs were affordable. At 50 percent of capacity they were not, and in 1983 when the mine's production declined, the fly-in system was suspended and a daily bus-in system substituted.

A second possible limitation that has been suggested is the length of the commute. The evidence is incomplete. Workers in the oil industry frequently commute on an international basis, and in Australia workers at the Argyle mine in the Northern Territory commute from Perth 2000km. to the south-west (Newton,1986,78). At Polaris a few workers commute from as far away as South America and the United Kingdom. In these cases, however, the Company only pays their transportation costs within Canada.

Other issues that have been suggested as constraints to LDC include the life expectancy of the operation, i.e. the longer the projected lifespan the less viable is LDC (Glass and Lazarovich, 1984,73), and the type of operation in question, i.e. LDC is only effective when you are dealing with high value resources (Newton, 1986,80). Neither of these questions has yet been systematically addressed, but where economically viable, even long-term, relatively low value resources are likely to be developed using an LDC system, with Polaris being a case in point as the tidewater location allows low cost bulk transportation by water.

Family Life Issues

Questions often arise as to the potential effects on LDC workers' families. Clearly there are both advantages and disadvantages. On the positive side LDC does allow one member of the family to continue working in the industry in question while the other family members enjoy the educational, social and recreational facilities of their home community. Furthermore LDC allows workers to spend an extended period with their families, which many workers regard as "quality time". Finally, while partings and reunions may be stressful, for some it can add something to the relationship in the form of "periodic honeymoons" (Storey *et al.*,1986).

Jackson (1987,164) maintains that the experience at Kidston in north-eastern Australia shows that LDC has "radically improved" the family's satisfaction with the wage earner's job. Whether this enthusiasm for the system is embraced by all families and workers is debatable. In an analysis of the preferences of workers and their spouses at the Key Lake uranium mine, slightly less than 50 percent expressed an unequivocal preference for the LDC system, but 25 percent of workers and 20 percent of spouses still expressed an outright preference to live in a mining town. (Storey and Shrimpton,1988,176).

There are a number of negative aspects of the work pattern. Research in the North Sea and Newfoundland oil industries indicates that times of parting and reunion are in fact extremely stressful for many families. There are also potential problems with role definitions and transitions within the family, with parenting, and with maintaining ongoing relationships with friends and other community members. There may also be conflicts between spouses over the use of the non-work time and over money (Clark *et al.*,1985a,b,c; Storey *et al.*,1986; Lewis *et al.*,1988).

For some the LDC lifestyle is unacceptable and the result may be either that the worker leaves the industry or that the relationship fails. For others the work regime may be the glue that holds the relationship together. In general, however, the results suggest that most families involved with LDC in the oil industry at least accept the work cycle and are able to cope with it, though not without incurring what may often be quite considerable personal and family costs. It also appears that many of the stressful aspects of the work pattern can be addressed by relatively minor and inexpensive alterations to personnel policies and practices (Storey and Shrimpton,1988,179-197).

For example, communications are a very important component in maintaining family relationships. Some companies have recognized this by offering free or subsidized telephone communications from the minesite. Some companies allow families to spend Christmas at the minesite, and others encourage occasional family visits so that family members can see where a parent or spouse works and what they do. One company takes this a step further by allocating several temporary, short-term, paid positions on its cleaning staff to wives and girlfriends of mineworkers.

As for workers in the industry, Douglas (1984,17) asserts that Canadian LDC operations attract a workforce with particular demographic characteristics, especially married couples without children or singles, and that workers with a family find too many problems associated with absences from home. However, the evidence provided above on the demography of the LDC mine workforce appears to refute this view. While this way of life seems to appeal to par-

ticular individuals, specific personality types or other specific demographic characteristics are not readily identifiable. While marital status and age may contribute to the decision to work at an LDC operation they are by no means dominant determining factors.

LDC and Development Policy

Limited research has been carried out on the impact or the role that LDC has had or might play in development policy (see for example, Hobart,1988; Jackson,1988; Storey and Shrimpton,1988a). A major concern in the Canadian context are potential negative implications of "fly-over" effects, where workers and communities close to the project site fail to gain any benefits from the project because the operation is entirely serviced from a larger, more distant centre (Storey and Shrimpton,1988a,19-20). In the broad context it has been suggested that exploitation of natural resources in this way, in the shortest time, with the fewest people, amounts to a plundering of the land (Armstrong, 1976,280) or at the very least an abrogation of responsibility to establish permanent occupation of national territory.

Against these criticisms there are some local benefits that northern residents enjoy either directly (wages) or indirectly (tax revenues) from LDC mines and where developments are strictly controlled, short-term, phenomena the net effect may be one of minimal environmental damage or disruptions to sensitive environments. Both views, however, raise the general question of what or who northern development is for — an issue about which there appears to be little consensus.

A second concern is that where the company pays, no transportation costs accrue to the individual worker. Workers and their family may, as a result, choose to move from a small community to a larger one with a greater range of services (as discussed above), or make the reverse move so as to reduce housing costs and live in a smaller community felt to be less stressful and more suitable for raising a family.[3] If this migration is selective, i.e. predominantly the young and the highly motivated, then this may promote demographic imbalances, especially in the case of the drift to larger centres from the north. However, whether LDC would contribute significantly to a depopulation of the north or other rural areas over time is a matter for conjecture.

On the other hand, LDC permits individuals to live in the communities of their choice and, as has been noted, their local taxes and expenditures may contribute significantly to the continued viability of those communities. Similarly, if the mine closes and jobs are lost the community impacts will be reduced by being spread over many centres.

3 Even where the company pays only the transportation costs from specific centres, workers may still find it cheaper or otherwise preferable to live at the place of their choice and pay the additional transportation costs themselves.

5. Prospects for LDC Mining

While the future of metals markets is a matter for conjecture, there appear to be particular sectors in which the use of LDC is more likely than others. Six metals (iron ore, copper, zinc, gold, nickel and uranium), accounted for over 85 percent of the value of Canadian metal mineral production in 1985 (Canada, 1987a, 70.6). Among this group gold is the most likely to show significant continued growth in the near future, assuming prices remain high and new capital continues to be made available for new exploration through flow-through shares or similar mechanisms.

Given the present potential high rate of return from gold there is strong possibility that additional LDC mines will be developed in the short term where locational conditions require it. Between 1988 and 1990 most of the new mines that will likely come into production are in Ontario, Quebec and British Columbia (Anon, 1987,48). Many of the new eastern mines are likely to be in the region between Timmins and Val D'Or and thus only a few may need to be developed as LDC operations. In British Columbia and the NWT, however, several of the mines likely to come into production in the near future are in remote locations and will probably be developed using LDC.

Of the other major minerals, uranium perhaps shows the best prospects for future growth over the next decade, but then only if access to the U.S. market continues, together with a growth in nuclear power capacity in Canada. Significant quantities of high value reserves exist at Cigar Lake in north-eastern Saskatchewan, and if a variety of hydrological, geotechnical, and radiological problems can be overcome, and demand for product warrants new development, it is likely that in the 1990s Cigar Lake will become Saskatchewan's fourth uranium fly-in operation.

In 1987, the zinc industry was suffering from overcapacity and depressed prices but prospects appear reasonably good over the medium term. There are, for example, other prospects near Polaris in the Cornwallis Lead-Zinc District which might eventually result in an expansion of fly-in activities in the area, or extension of operations at Polaris itself beyond the 15-19 years currently projected. However, the opening of Red Dog, Cominco's fly-in zinc operation in Alaska, may have an impact on decisions to bring new Canadian reserves into production.

Iron ore, nickel and copper have all been suffering from over-capacity and slow growth in demand, and while there are signs that nickel and copper may be on the way up, only minimal expansion is forecast in the short term. Given somewhat limited demand prospects and relatively low prices, there is presently little likelihood of any short-term development of LDC mines in the nickel and copper sectors and none in the iron ore sector.

Mineral development prospects for other metals vary considerably. Platinum, for example, has been increasing in demand as an industrial mineral, but Canadian production is mainly as a by-product of nickel output, and unless new resources are discovered there appear to be few prospects for new LDC mines in the short or medium terms.

Similarly the growing variety of uses of rare earths has increased demand, but it is unknown whether any increased output would come from developments at existing sites, such as Elliot Lake in association with uranium production, or new sites requiring a fly-in development, such as the Thor Lake deposits 100km south-east of Yellowknife or the Strange Lake deposits 250km north east of Schefferville, Quebec.

In summary, many of the new employment opportunities in metal mining over the next decade might well involve commuting to remote mines, particularly if gold continues to present the most attractive metals investment opportunity. However, not all developable resources will require fly-in operations and a greater use of LDC using bus and boat transportation, as in the Star Lake and Hope Brook cases, is likely since this will increase the economic feasibility of some developments.

One unknown that could significantly affect the future of the Canadian mining industry in general, and the prospects of several of the mineral sectors discussed above in particular, is the impact of the free trade agreement between Canada and the United States (see the discussion by Steger *et al.*,1987). The Canadian mineral industry currently exports two-thirds of its total output to the United States and thus security of market access is a primary objective. U.S. protectionism is on the increase, fueled by the current U.S. trade deficit, and increasing numbers of trade actions have been brought against Canadian sup-

pliers. In recent years an anti-dumping case has been launched against eight Canadian potash companies; action has been taken concerning increased Canadian copper exports; and the uranium industry has been fighting the latest of a number of attempts to limit sales to the U.S.

It was argued by the Macdonald Royal Commission on the Economic Union and Development Prospects for Canada, that an agreement is the only real alternative to the threat of escalating U.S. unilateral trade actions and restrictions against Canadian products. The federal government's assessment of the Free Trade Agreement argues that the Canadian minerals sector will benefit in a number of ways including more secure access to U.S. markets, new market opportunities, improved profitability when tariffs on mineral exports are eliminated, and from further processing prior to export (Canada,1988,25-28). However, the price of such an agreement may well be the limitation of the use of government programs that currently directly or indirectly subsidize the mineral resource sector but what this will eventually mean for the industry and its labour force is a matter for conjecture.

6. Conclusion

This report has provided an overview of LDC in the Canadian metals mining industry and identified a number of important outcomes and issues, many of which still need to be systematically addressed. However, there is little doubt that from an economic and social perspective the indications are that LDC will be used more in the future, both in the North and at other remote locations.

Two sets of factors have encouraged the growth in the use of LDC and fly-in operations in particular:

(1) changes in absolute and relative cost factors, including:

- improved quality and absolute decline in air travel costs (measured in constant dollars)
- improved quality and relative decline in communications costs
- increase in requirements and costs of developing resource towns
- cost and availability of capital for resource town construction
- increased capital and operating costs of resource towns because of increased demands from residents
- increased costs associated with resource town closure
- increase in the price of gold and greater profitability of developing smaller, more remote, short life-span resources
- increased labour costs associated with high absenteeism and turnover rates

(2) changes in attitudes towards work and well-being, including:

- increased demand for services and facilities (especially education facilities) which cannot be provided in small single industry resource communities
- increased demand for a greater range of employment opportunities as two-income households become the norm
- overall worker and family preference for LDC mining among those who have experienced both LDC and mine communities
- lower vulnerability of LDC workers and families to boom-bust cycles and mine closures
- opportunities for some LDC workers to be involved in other occupations and leisure activities in their free time

These factors and others, including a regulatory environment which tends to encourage commuting rather than new town development, have contributed to the growth in the use of LDC and a decline in the traditional approach to mineral development which was through the construction of mining communities. Indications are that these factors will increase in importance in the foreseeable future, and thus the use of LDC is likely to increase.

This is not to suggest that all of the social, economic and political implications of the use of LDC are positive. LDC has been described as a "trendy" or "quick fix" solution for northern development (IRPP,1986,99) and there are a number of important unresolved questions concerning who benefits and who pays the costs of mining in the North. Fly-over effects, local training and recruitment, labour-management relations, and worker and family effects are all important areas for future research. If, as suggested, the use of LDC will increase over time, further thought, both at the government and industry levels, needs to be given to the policy implications of this approach to mineral development so that the potential benefits are maximized and the negative effects minimized.

References

Alvarez, A. (1986). *Offshore*. Houghton Mifflin Company, Boston.

Anon. (1987) "Tomorrow's Gold Mines". *Northern Miner Magazine* September, supplement, p.48.

Armstrong, T. (1976). "The 'Shift Method' in the Arctic". *Polar Record* Vol.18, No.14, pp.279-281.

Berg, L. (1986). "From Towns to Wings to Wheels at Sterco". In *Towns, Wheels or Wings for Resource Development?* Selected Conference Papers from the 3-4 February 1986 Conference, Vancouver, B.C. organized by The Institute for Research on Public Policy (IRPP), Victoria, B.C. pp.30-32.

Bugden, M. (1984). "Tumbler Ridge: Planning the Physical and Social Development of a New Community". *Habitat*, Vol.26, 8-12.

Canada, Department of Energy, Mines and Resources (1987). "Current and Past Producers in Canada, 07/15/87". Unpublished data, National Mineral Inventory.

Canada, Department of Energy, Mines and Resources (1987a). *Canadian Minerals Yearbook 1986* Mineral Report 35, Ottawa.

Canada, Department of Energy Mines and Resources, International Mineral Relations Division (1988). *The Canada-U.S. Free Trade Agreement and Minerals and Metals: An Assessment*. Ministry of Supply and Services, Ottawa.

Canada, Statistics Canada (1984). *1981 Census of Canada. Labour Force Industry by Demographic and Education Characteristics*. Cat. 92-921.

Clark, D., *et al.* (1985a). "Oil Wives and Intermittent Husbands". *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 147, 479-483.

_____, *et al.*, (1985b). "The Psycho-Social Consequences of Intermittent Husband Absence: An Epidemiological Study". *Social Science and Medicine*.

_____, *et al.*,(1985c). "Work and Marriage in the Offshore Oil Industry". *International Journal of Social Economics*, Vol.12, No.2, 36-47.

DePape, D. (1984). "Alternatives to Single Project Mining Communities: A Critical Assessment". In *Mining Communities: Hard Lessons for the Future*, Proceedings No.14, Centre for Resource Studies, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario.

Douglas, R.P. (1984). "The Industry Perspective". In *Mining Communities: Hard Lessons for the Future*, Proceedings No.14, Centre for Resource Studies, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario.

Giegerich, H. (1986). "Polaris and Red Dog". In *Towns, Wheels or Wings for Resource Development?* Selected Conference Papers from the 3-4 February 1986 Conference, Vancouver, B.C.organized by The Institute for Research on Public Policy (IRPP), Victoria, B.C. pp.25-29.

Glass, R.D. and J.Lazarovich (1984). "The Government Perspective". In *Mining Communities: Hard Lessons for the Future*, Proceedings No.14, Centre for Resource Studies, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario.

Hobart, C.W. (1979). "Commuting Work in the Canadian North: Some Effects on Native People". *Proceedings*; Conference on Commuting and Northern Development. University of Saskatchewan, Institute of Northern Studies, Saskatoon, 15,16 February.

Hobart, C.W. (1988). "Company Towns or Commuting: Implications for Native People." Paper presented at the Canadian Urban and Housing Studies Conference, Winnipeg, 18-20 February.

IRPP (1986). *Towns, Wheels or Wings for Resource Development?* Selected Conference Papers from the 3-4 February, 1986 Conference, Vancouver, B.C. organized by The Institute for Research on Public Policy (IRPP), Victoria, B.C.

Jackson, R.T. (1987). "Commuter Mining and the Kidston Gold Mine: Goodbye to Mining Towns?". *Geography* Vol.72 Part 2,No.315, pp.162-165.

Kendall, G. (1987). "The Extended Work Day in Canadian Mining". Mineral Policy and Planning Division, Energy, Mines and Resources Canada, Ottawa.

Keyes, R.J. (1986). "Policy Responses and Alternatives for Addressing Mining Community Issues: The Recent Canadian Experience". In T.B. Bradley, C.C. Neil and P.W. Newton (eds.) *Resource Communities Settlement and Workforce Issues*, CSIRO Australia.

Lewis, J., M.Shrimpton and M.Porter (eds.) (1988). *Women, Work and Family in the British, Canadian and Norwegian Offshore Oil Fields*,. London: Macmillan.

McGrath, S. (1986). "Tumbler Ridge: An Assessment of the Local Government Method of Resource Community Development". *Impact Assessment Bulletin*, Vol.4, No.1-2, 211-236.

Newton, P.W. (1986). "Settlement Options for Resource Development in Australia". In *Towns, Wheels or Wings for Resource Development*? Selected Conference Papers from the 3-4 February 1986 Conference, Vancouver, B.C. organized by The Institute for Research on Public Policy (IRPP), Victoria, B.C. pp.54-87.

Nogas, F.R. (1976). "Fly-In Program at Rabbit Lake". *CIM Bulletin* 69 (774), October, 125-128.

Nogas, F.R. (1986). "Towns Versus Commuting at the Rabbit Lake Uranium Mine". In *Towns, Wheels or Wings for Resource Development?* Selected Conference Papers from the 3-4 February 1986 Conference, Vancouver, B.C. organized by The Institute for Research on Public Policy (IRPP), Victoria, B.C. pp.20-24.

Northrup, H.R., J.T.Wilson and K.M.Rose (1979). "The Twelve Hour Shift in the Petroleum and Chemical Industries of the United States and Canada: A Study of Current Experience". *Industrial and Labour Relations Review*, Vol.32, No.3, pp.312-326.

Robinson, I.M. (1984). "New Resource Towns on Canada's Frontier: Selected Contemporary Issues". In D.D.Detomasi and J.W. Gartrell, *Resource Communities: A Decade of Disruption*, Boulder, Colorado: Westview Press, pp.1-21.

Robson, R. (1988). "The Decline of Resource Towns." Paper presented at the Canadian Urban and Housing Studies Conference, Winnipeg, 18-20 February.

Rose, D. *et al.* (1984). "Argyle Project - The Case for Commuting" *Proceedings*, of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy Conference, Darwin, 1-8 August.

Shrimpton, M. and K.Storey (1987). "The Family Life Impacts of Long Distance Commuting Employment in the Canadian Offshore Oil and Mining Industries". Paper presented at the Family Services Canada Conference on Families and the Economy, Vancouver, B.C., 29-30 October.

Shrimpton, M. and K.Storey (1988). "Fly-In: The Benefits and Costs of a New Approach to Developing Mineral Resources". *Policy Options*, Vol. 9, No. 1, pp. 42-44.

Slipchenko, W. (1979). "The Use of Shift Work Camps: A Relatively New Concept in the Soviet North". *Proceedings*; Conference On Commuting and Northern Development, University of Saskatchewan Institute for Northern Studies, Saskatoon, 15-16 February.

Steger, D.P., *et al. (1987)*. "Free Trade and the Mining Industry". *CRS Perspectives*. No. 27, June. Centre for Resource Studies, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario.

Stones, I. (1986). "The Extended Work Day: Health and Safety Issues". Document No. 6161Q, Canadian Centre for Occupational Health and Safety, Hamilton, Ontario.

Storey, K., J. Lewis, M. Shrimpton and D. Clark (1986). *Family Life Adaptations to Offshore Oil and Gas Employment*. Environmental Studies Revolving Funds Report No. 040, Ottawa.

Storey, K. and M. Shrimpton (1986). "A Review of the Nature and Significance of the Use of Long Distance Commuting by Canadian Resource Industries." Report prepared for Health and Welfare Canada for the Review of Demography and the Implications for Economic and Social Policy, St. John's.

_____ (1987). "The Spatial Impacts of Long Distance Commuting to Employment: An Overview." Paper presented to the Annual Meeting of the Canadian Association of Geopgraphers, Hamilton, Ontario, May 25-31.

_____ (1988). *Impacts on Labour of Long Distance Labour Commuting in the Mining Sector*. Institute for Social and Economic Research, Memorial University, St. John's

_____ (1988a). "'Fly-in' Mining and Northern Development Policy: The Impacts of Long Distance Commuting in the Canadian Mining Sector." *Impact Assessment Bulletin*, in press.

Syme, G.J. *et al. (1986)*. "Environmental Disposition and Length of Stay in Remote Communities". *In T.B. Bradley, C.C. Neil and P.W. Newton (eds.) Resource Communities Settlement and Workforce Issues*, CSIRO Australia.

Wynn, S. (1979). "The Impact of the Twelve Hour Shift on Petrochemical Process Operators." Alberta Workers' Health , Safety, and Compensation, Occupational Health and Safety Division (cited by Stones).

The Authors

Keith Storey is a native of London, England and is a Professor in the Department of Geography at Memorial University of Newfoundland. He holds degrees in geography from Leicester (B.A. Hons.), Simon Fraser (M.A.) and Western Ontario (Ph.D.). Since joining Memorial in 1971 Dr. Storey has been seconded to DREE (1979-80) and Mobil Oil Canada (1980-82) to undertake program evaluation and impact assessment projects respectively. His main research interest are in program and policy evaluation and assessment, monitoring and management of resource developments. Dr. Storey serves as a member of he Board of Directors of the International Association for Impact Assessment.

Mark Shrimpton, also a native of London, England, holds degrees in Geography from Reading (B.A. Hons.) and Memorial (M.A.). He is presently a Principal of Community Resource Services (1984) Ltd., a St. John's based socio-economic consulting company, and is an Honorary Associate Professor in the Department of Geography at Memorial University of Newfoundland. Prior to entering the private sector Mr. Shrimpton was Director of Research for the Community Services Council of Newfoundland and Labrador (1985-86) and Research Director for the City of St. John's (1980-85). His main research interests are in public policy relating to resource development, employment, labour housing and the family.

The Centre for Resource Studies

The Centre for Resource Studies (CRS) was established in 1973, under the sponsorship of Queen's University, the federal Department of Energy, Mines and Resources, and The Mining Association of Canada, to carry out a program of research and publication on mineral policy issues.

The Centre provides opportunities for multidisciplinary research in resource studies at Canadian universities, furnishes reliable information on matters related to mineral resource policy, and promotes closer liaison between government, industry and other concerned groups. The research program is carried out both at the Centre and by means of contracts with qualified investigators, usually at Canadian universities. Small exploratory studies are also funded, through a system of grants-in-aid of research. CRS Policy Discussion Seminars involve representatives from governments, industry, universities, labour, and other interested groups in working sessions on issues of current concern. Through this program of research and seminars, and the resulting publications, the Centre contributes to informed discussions and a better understanding of significant issues.

The Centre is governed by a Board of Directors which establishes general policy and priorities for research. The chairman is Dr. C.G. Miller and the executive director is Mrs. Margot Wojciechowski. There are fourteen other members - seven nominated by Queen's University, four by The Mining Association of Canada, and three by the federal government. Guidance on the research program, together with discussion of policy issues and other matters, is provided by the Advisory Council. This body comprises all researchers associated with the Centre, together with members appointed from such groups as governments, industry, labour, and other interests. A Research Advisory Committee aids in the development of projects and the selection of research proposals. The executive director and staff are responsible for the work of the Centre within this framework.

Most of the funding for the Centre's activities is provided by the sponsors. In addition, the Centre accepts contracts from other organizations interested in mineral policy research.

CRS Publications

Following is a complete list of all CRS publications. Publications that are out of print (marked *) can be ordered from Micromedia Limited. All other publications can be ordered from:

Centre for Resource Studies, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario K7L 3N6 (Telephone: 613-545-2553)

Monographs and Collections

Conflict and its Resolution in the Administration of Mineral Resources in Canada, by R.M. Burns; 62 pages, 11 tables, 1976.

The Impact of the Mining Industries on the Canadian Economy, by R.W. Boadway and J.B. Treddenick; 116 pages, 15 tables, 1977.

The Transportation Impact of the Canadian Mining Industry, by M.I. Wallace; 154 pages, 17 tables, 1977.

Human Resources in Canadian Mining: A Preliminary Analysis, by J.A. MacMillan, G.S. Gislason, and S. Lyon; 174 pages, 48 tables, 1977.

Health and Safety in the Canadian Mining Industry, by G.W. Gibbs and P. Pintus; 248 pages, 58 tables, 1978.

The Canadian Nonferrous Metals Industry: An Industrial Organization Study, by E. Urquhart; 158 pages, 18 tables, 1978.

Economic Impacts and Linkages of the Canadian Mining Industry, by P.E. Nickel, et al; 140 pages, 31 tables, 1978.

Environmental Impact of Mining in Canada, by E.A. Ripley, et. al.; 274 pages, 45 tables, 1978, reprinted 1982.

Effects of Taxation on Base Metal Mining in Canada, by B.W. Mackenzie and M.L. Bilodeau; 188 pages, 29 pages, 29 tables, 1979.

Gold: The World Industry and Canadian Corporate Strategy, by E. Cousineau and P.R. Richardson; 192 pages, 32 tables, 1980.

The Impact of Policy Change on Decisions in the Mineral Industry: The Case of Exploration in Manitoba, 1969-77, by B.E. Owen and W.J. Kops; 116 pages, 8 tables, 1980.

The Role of Mineral Taxation in Industry/Government Conflict: The Case of the Saskatchewan Potash Reserve Tax, by D.L. Anderson; 135 pages, 41 tables, 2 illustrations, 1981.

Profitability in the Canadian Mineral Industry, by Charles H. Pye; 178 pages, 42 tables, 12 illustrations, 1981.

Foreign Investment Control in the Canadian Mineral Sector: Lessons from the Australian Experience, by D.L. Anderson; 180 pages, 22 tables, 1983.

Financing Canadian Mining in the Eighties: Strategies for Action, edited by David Yudelman; 240 pages, with papers by B. Bradly, A. Dorland, J. Erola, P. Gillin, P. Glenshaw, S. Greenwald, B. Kalymon, P. MacCulloch, B. Mackenzie, K. Murphy, A. Powis, R. Sultan and D. Yudelman, 1984.

National Resources and International Bargaining Power: Canada's Mineral Policy Options, by P. J. Caragata; 190 pages, 1984.

Canadian Mineral Policy Past and Present: The Ambiguous Legacy, by David Yudelman; 188 pages, 1985.

An Analysis of Japanese Coking Coal Procurement Policies: the Canadian and Australian Experience, by D. L. Anderson; 221 pages, 1987.

The Korean Mineral Market: Opportunities and Marketing Strategies for Canada, by O. Yul Kwon; 177 pages, 1987.

Canada and International Mineral Markets: Dependence, Instability, and Foreign Policy, by M.C. Webb with M.W. Zacher; 204 pages, 1988.

Pricing of Metals, by M.E. Slade; 146 pages, 1988.

Mining Potential in Northern and Southern Canada: Guidelines for Regional Development Policy, by L.J. Verleun and B.W. Mackenzie; 225 pages, 1988.

Proceedings

*No. 1 *Rate-of-Return Taxation of Minerals*, edited by P.R. Richardson and N.D. Olewiler, with papers by B.W. Mackenzie and M.L. Bilodeau, P.M.T. White, N.D. Olewiler, J.T. Cawley and E.P. Gush; 109 pages, 1978.

No. 2 *International Competition and the Canadian Mineral Industries*, edited by C.H. Pye and M. J. Wojciechowski, with papers by H.C. Armstrong, H.T. Fargey, C.G. Miller, N.D. Olewiler and P.R. Richardson, D. Perlstein, and K.C. Hendrick; 109 pages, 1978.

No. 3 *Current Concerns in Mineral Policy*, edited by B.W. Mackenzie and C.W. McMurray, with papers by C.R. Archibald, W.J. Barbour, D.B. Brooks, J.S. Dowsett, J.M. Fleming, and G.A. Jewett; 75 pages, 1978.

No. 4 *Canada's Mineral Trade: Implications for the Balance of Payments and Economic Development*, edited by M.J. Wojciechowski, with papers by B.W. Wilkinson, D.J. McCulla, J.G. MacKinnon, A.G. Balogh, and W.G. Chambers and J.S. Reid; 113 pages, 1978.

No 5 *Employee Relations Initiatives in Canadian Mining*, edited by M.J. Wojciechowski, with papers by W.J. Bennett, F.R. Nogas and J.P. Fulcher, W.F. Clarke, S.B. Jones, T.F. Cawsey and P.R. Richardson, and P. Warrian; 85 pages, 1979.

*No. 6 *Junior Mining in Canada: The Problem of Investment and Securing Fair Returns*, edited by M.J. Wojciechowski, with papers by A.J. Freyman, B.A. Kalymon, J.D. Mason, D.W. Scrim, E.H. Neave and P.R. Richardson, and E.G. Thompson; 101 pages, 1979.

No. 7 *The Future for Junior Mining in Canada*, edited by M.J. Wojciechowski, with papers by Hon. J.A.C. Auld, J.L.C. Lyndon, N.B. Keevil Jr., D.G.C. Menzel, H.T. Fargey, A.J. Freyman, Hon. R. Hnatyshyn, J.C. Baillie, D.W.Scrim, J. P. Bunting, E.H. Neave and P.R. Richardson, L.A. English, M.St-B. Harrison, R.H. Grasley, and Hon. F. Drea; 125 pages, 1979.

No. 8 *Mining-Linked Diversification of the Sudbury Basin Economy*, edited by M.J. Wojciechowski, with papers by D.C. Frith, J.P. Reny, A.I. Wallace, J.H. Holtby and L.C. Kitchener; 53 pages, 1980.

*No. 9 *The Changing Structure of the Canadian Mineral Industry*, edited by M.J. Wojciechowski, with papers by I.A. Stewart, R.F. Mikesell, R. Simeon, R. Keyes and J.M. MacLeod; 105 pages, 1980.

No. 10 *Northern Mining in the 80s*, edited by M.J. Wojciechowski, with papers by Hon. G. Braden; R.J. Cathro; D. MacKinnon; J. Smith; R.W. Stephenson; B.E. Novak; E.N. Doyle; J.R. Witty; J.E. Marshall; A.G. Gordon; J. McCormack; H.B. Hicks; J. Zigarlick; T. Shearcroft; Dr. W. Kupsch; Dr. J. Stabler, and Hon. J. Erola; 110 pages, 1980.

No. 11 *Skilled Manpower Shortages in the 1980s: Towards a Cooperative Solution*, edited by M.J. Wojciechowski, with papers by R.J. Gray, J.S. Reid, W.H. Digby, J.B. Hopkinson, A. Knoll, O. Tremblay, G. Betcherman, P.E. Womersley, M.J. Alton, V.C. Allman, C. Stairs, E.J. Weiss, and W.E. McBride; 116 pages, 1981.

No.12 *Acid Rain Controls and the Economics of the Canadian Nonferrous Mineral Industry*, edited by M.J. Wojciechowski, with papers by J. Gerin, W.G. Deeks, P.R. Clarke, H.B. Hyne, H.T. Fargey, B.W. Mackenzie, and E.J. Ward; 90 pages, 1982.

No. 13 *Mineral Policy Formulation: The Role of Scientific and Technical Knowledge*, edited by M.J. Wojciechowski, with papers by G.A. Jewett, B.W. Mackenzie, H.D. Drechsler, P.G. Halkett, D.E. Bond, D.C. Findlay, W.G. Jeffery, L.G. Bonar, D.W. Dallas, and J. Zwartendyk; 135 pages, 1982.

*No. 14 *Mining Communities: Hard Lessons for the Future*, edited by M.J. Wojciechowski, with papers by I. Haugh, R.P. Douglas, R. MacDonald, D. Brown, R.D. Glass and J. Lazarovich, W. Mulvihill, D. DePape, G. Paget and B. Walliser, and R. Roberts; 211 pages, 1984.

No. 15 *Native Participation in Mineral Development Activities*, edited by M.J. Wojciechowski; 150 pages,. 1984.

No. 16 *Mining Productivity*, edited by M.J. Wojciechowski, with papers by K. Stollery, B.W. Mackenzie, C.G. Miller, W. James, P. LePage, W. Curlook and E. Kossatz, K. Whitham, and P.R. Richardson; 187 pages, 1984.

No. 17 *Canadian Mining Taxation*, edited by M.J. Wojciechowski, with papers by G. Langlois, D. Williams, T. Enemark, P. Halkett, R. Albert, K. Service, K. O'Brien, R. Boadway and J. Mintz; 146 pages, 1985.

No. 18 *Structural Changes in the World Mineral Industry: Implications for Canada*, edited by M.J. Wojciechowski, with papers by P. Crowson, G. Deeks, G. Jewett, G. Peeling and W. Chambers, S. Hunt and G. Haclin, J. Davies, D. Karvonen, G. Denis, D. Daly, D. Haglund, I. Bennet, G. Mahoney, and K. Buck; 225 pages, 1986.

No. 19 *Technology Policies in the Canadian Mineral Industry*, edited by M.J. Wojciechowski, with papers by R. Woodbridge, M.J. Wojciechowski, F. Pickard, D. Bevelander, J. Hood, W.G. Jeffery and J. Kuryullowicz, P. Richardson, J. Schade, W. Curlook and G. Miller, M. Sayer, G. Hatch, D. Hutchison, and A. Molinari; 185 pages, 1986.

No. 20 *Long-Term Prospects for Gold Mining*, edited by B. Mackenzie and R. Gesing, with papers by J. Nichols, T. Green, J. Fisher, M. Murenbeeld, G. Anders, R. Woodall, D. Krige, D. Cranstone, B. Mackenzie and M. Doggett, B. Kalymon, C. Margueron, M. Wojciechowski and R. Gesing, and K. Buck; 244 pages, 1987.

Working Papers

*No. 1 *An Analysis of the Recent Federal Tax Changes Affecting the Canadian Mining Industry*, by Jean-Thomas Bernard; 27 pages, 6 tables, 1977.

*No. 2 *Risk and Return in the Canadian Mining Industry*, by A.M. Rugman; 31 pages, 10 tables, 1977.

*No. 3 *Provincial Mineral Policies: Newfoundland, 1949-75*, by M. J. Prince; 67 pages, 7 tables, 1977.

*No. 4 *Provincial Mineral Policies: Quebec 1945-75*, by S. Guindon; 49 pages, 1 table, 1977.

*No. 5 *Provincial Mineral Policies: Ontario 1945-75*, by R. Hynes; 48 pages, 4 tables, 1978.

*No. 6 *Provincial Mineral Policies: Saskatchewan 1945-75*, by R.C. Murray; 64 pages, 1978.

*No. 7 *Provincial Mineral Policies: New Brunswick, Nova Scotia 1945-77*, by A. Koven; 37 pages, 1978.

No. 8 *Federal Mineral Policies: 1945-75*, by M.J. Wojciechowski; 85 pages, 21 tables, 1979.

No. 9 *Trends in Canada's Mineral Trade*, by B.W. Wilkinson; 63 pages, 27 tables, 1978.

No. 10 *Canada as a World Zinc Producer: Prospects to 1980*, by N.D. Olewiler, P.R. Richardson, and L. Rumble; 22 pages, 12 tables, 1978.

*No. 11 *A Survey of Resources and a Production Cycle for the Non-ferrous Metals*, by C. Lafkas and J.G. Paterson; 44 pages, 9 tables, 1978.

*No. 12 *Solar Energy and the Canadian Mining Sector: A Demand Forecast*, by J.S. Shewchun and D.B. Curtis; 45 pages, 9 tables, 1978.

No. 13 *Cerro Colorado: A Case Study of the Role of Canadian Crown Corporations in Foreign Mineral Development*, by C.G. Miller, N.D. Olewiler, and P.R. Richardson; 44 pages, 5 tables, 1979.

*No.14 *The Administration of Mineral Exploration in the Yukon and Northwest Territories*, by K.A. Graham, R.G. McEachern and C.G. Miller, 42 pages, 1979.

No. 15 *Public Policy Aspects of Information Exchange in Canadian Mineral Exploration*, by D.O. Downing and B.W. Mackenzie; 52 pages, 1979.

No. 16 *Canada's Competitive Position in Copper and Zinc Markets*, by B.W. Mackenzie; 41 pages, 21 tables, 1979.

No. 17 *Constitutional Change and the Mineral Industry in Canada*, by Wendy MacDonald; 66 pages, 1979.

No. 18 *An Economic Analysis of the Canadian Taxation of an Integrated Mining Company*, by Jean-Thomas Bernard; 112 pages, 1980.

*No. 19 *Looking for the Improbable Needle in a Haystack: The Economics of Base Metal Exploration in Canada*, by B.W. Mackenziel; 48 pages, 1980.

No. 20 *The Market Price of Risk in the Canadian Mining Industry*, by H.F. Campbell, 29 pages, 1980.

No. 21 *Public Affairs and the Minerals Industry: Junior Mining; Northern Development; Loans for Foreign Mines; A Public Relations Strategy*, by C.G. Miller; 42 pages, 1980.

No. 22 *Newfoundland Mining Industry: Present Status and Potential for Expansion*, by N.D. Olewiler and C.H. Pye; 208 pages, 83 tables, 19080.

No. 23 *Effects of Water Pollution Control Costs on Base Metal Mining in Canada*, by N.A. Papanicolaou and B.W. Mackenzie; 74 pages, 1981.

No. 24 *The Costs of Uncertainty: Regulating Health and Safety in the Canadian Uranium Industry*, by I. Robinson; 74 pages, 3 illustrations, 1982.

No. 25 *Economic Guidelines for Small Mineral Deposits/Directives economiques relatives aux petits gisements*, by B.W. Mackenzie and M.L. Bilodeau; 66 pages, 12 tables, 14 illustrations, 1982.

*No. 26 *Effects of Public Policy on Investment Demand: The Case of Taxation in Canadian Base Metal Mining*, by B.W. Mackenzie and C.H. Pye; 58 pages, 17 tables 11 illustrations, 1982.

*No. 27 *The Prospects for Canadian Uranium*, by S. Salaff; 50 pages, 9 tables, 1983.

No 28 *Economic Mineral Exploration Targets*, by B.W. Mackenzie; 72 pages, 3 tables, 19 illustrations, 1984.

No. 29 *Dominant Government Firms in an Oligopolistic Industry: The Case of Saskatchewan Potash*, by F. Flatters and N. Olewiler; 43 pages, 3 tables, 1984.

No. 30 *Canadian Mineral Policy Formulation: A Case Study of the Adversarial Process*, by David Yudelman; 83 pages, 1984.

No. 31 *Federal Policy and the Role of the Public Enterprise in the Mining Sector*, by M.J. Prince and G.B.Doern; 52 pages, 1984.

No. 32 *Productivity and Price: The Case of Gold in Ontario*, by A.G. Green; 54 pages, 1984.

No. 33 *The Origins of Public Enterprise in the Canadian Mineral Sector: Three Provincial Case Studies*, by M.J. Prince and G.B. Doern; 143 pages, 1984.

No. 34 *Mining and the Macdonald Commission: The State of the Industry in the Mid-1980s*, by D. Yudelman, 116 pages, 1985.

No. 35 *Effects of Location on the Competitive Position of Mineral Exploration and Development in Canada*, by B.W. Mackenzie, D.W. Davis and M.L. Bilodeau; 88 pages, 1986.

No. 36 *Mine Infrastructure and Economic Development in North Australia*, by C. O'Faircheallaigh; 104 pages, 1986.

No. 37 *Intergovernmental Mineral Commodity Arrangements*, by W.K. Buck; 80 pages, 1986.

No. 38 *Value-Added Taxation: Issues and Impact for Canadian Mines*, by B.J. Dyack; 70 pages, 1986.

No. 39 *Flow-Through Share Financing*, by B.A. Kalymon; 65 pages, 1987.

No. 40 *Mineral Exploration Economics: The Search for Base Metals in Australia and Canada*, by B.W. Mackenzie and R. Woodall; 124 pages, 1987.

No. 41 *Economic Trends in Canadian Gold Supply*, by B.W. Mackenzie and M. Doggett; 80 pages, 1987.

No. 42 *The Changing Transportation Environment of the Canadian Mineral Industry*, by I. Wallace; 37 pages, 1987.

No. 43 *Long Distance Labour Commuting in the Canadian Mining Industry*, by K. Storey and M. Shrimpton; 37 pages, 1988

Technical Papers

*No. 1 *Financing and Stabilization Policies in a Cyclical Industry: The Case of Inco in the Seventies*, by W.T. Cannon; 200 pages, 33 tables, 12 illustrations, 1981.

No. 2 *Economic Effects of Smelter Controls on the Canadian Nonferrous Mining Industry*, by B.W. Mackenzie and M.L.Bilodeau; 162 pages, 44 tables, 16 illustrations.

No. 3 *Secondary Supply of Copper and Ferrous Metals and Canadian Metal Markets*, by K. Stollery; 118 pages, 24 tables, 16 illustrations, 1983.

No. 4 *Mineral Technology Transfer: Evaluation of the CANMET Pit Slope Project*, by B.W. Mackenzie and R. Pakalnis; 220 pages, 20 tables, 17 illustrations, 1985.

*No. 5 *Sourcebook for Native Participation in Canadian Mineral Activities*, by M.J. Wojciechowski and K.A. Graham, 165 pages, 1985.

No. 6 *Geological Aspects of Mining Productivity: Canada's Base Metal Resources*, by B.W. Mackenzie; 115 pages, 19 tables, 11 illustrations, 1985.

No. 7 *Innovation in the Mineral Industry: Opportunities and Strategies for Canada*, by M.J. Wojciechowski and P.R. Richardson; 278 pages, 42 tables, 3 illustrations, 1987.

No. 8 *An Inter-Sectoral Study of Canada's Resource Industries*, by T. Bennett and D.L. Anderson; 197 pages, 143 tables, 168 illustrations, 1988.

Mineral Policy Updates

Mineral Policy Update 1981, by M.J. Wojciechowski and C.E. McMurray; 85 pages, 1983.

Mineral Policy Update 1982, by M.J. Wojciechowski and C.E. McMurray; 88 pages, 1983.

Mineral Policy Update 1983, by M.J. Wojciechowski; 88 pages, 1984.

Mineral Policy Update 1984, by M.J. Wojciechowski; 117 pages, 1985.

Eastern Arctic Study

The Inuit Land Claim, Constitutional Development, and Local Government Reform in the Northwest Territories: An Overview, by Katherine A. Graham and Anne B. McAllister, 50 pages, 1981.

**Industrial Development in the Eastern Arctic Study Area: A Preliminary Overview*, by C. George Miller; 31 pages, 1981.

**Eastern Arctic Study Case Study Series: The Alaska Native Claims Settlement*, by David Baker; 100 pages, 1982.

Eastern Arctic Study, Case Study Series: Mineral Exploration in the Baker Lake Area, by Anne B. McAllister; 58 pages, 1982.

*_Eastern Arctic Study, Case Study Series: The Development of the Polaris Mine_, by Katherine A. Graham; 61 pages, 1982.

Eastern Arctic Study, Case Study Series: The Nanisivik Mine, by Margot J. Wojciechowski; 62 pages, 1982.

Eastern Arctic Study Annotated Bibliography, by M.P. Sharon Brown; 68 pages, 1984.

A Climate for Change: Alternatives for the Central and Eastern Arctic, by K.A. Graham, M.P.S. Brown, A. B. McAllister, M.J. Wojciechowski; 184 pages, 1984.

*_Eastern Arctic Study, Case Study Series: James Bay Settlement_, by Anne B. McAllister; 61 pages 1985.

*_Eastern Arctic Study, Case Study Series: Beaufort Sea Oil and Gas Development_, by M.P. Sharon Brown, Katherine A. Graham, and Margot J. Wojciechowski; 85 pages, 1985.

*Out of print CRS titles are available from:
Micromedia Limited
158 Pearl Street
Toronto, Ontario M5H 1L3